

CANADA / JUDGMENT

D.L. v. J.L.

2017 BCSC 1011

COURT

Supreme Court of British Columbia

DECIDED

20 June 2017

Source: bccourts.ca · Research copy typeset by Esheria. Not court-issued or certified.

2017 BCSC 1011 D.L. v. J.L. IN THE SUPREME COURT OF BRITISH COLUMBIA Citation: D.L. v. J.L., 2017 BCSC 1011 Date: 20170620 Docket: E160127 Registry: Vancouver Between: D.L. Claimant And J.L. Respondent Before: The Honourable Madam Justice Murray Supplementary Reasons to: D.L. v. J.L., 2017 BCSC 801 Corrected Judgment: These reasons have been amended throughout to anonymize the parties to this proceeding, as well as their child on October 31, 2017 Supplemental Reasons for Judgment Re: Division of the RRSP Counsel for the Claimant: K.P. All Counsel for the Respondent: S. Beesley Written Submissions of the Respondent Received: May 19, 2017 Written Submissions of the Claimant Received: May 26, 2017 Place and Date of Supplementary Reasons for Judgment: Vancouver, B.C. June 20, 2017

Introduction

- [1] In my Reasons for Judgment on the summary trial dated May 15, 2017, I reserved the decision regarding the division of the respondent's RRSP pending further information from the parties.
- [2] I have since received that information. This ruling deals with the division of the RRSP. RRSP
- [3] The claimant seeks half of the respondent's RRSP.
- [4] The respondent agrees to an equal division of her RRSP provided that the respondent's share of the family debt she incurred post-separation is deducted from the claimant's share. The respondent calculates this to be \$17,457.80
- [5] The claimant argues that his share of the post-separation expenses was dealt with in the Consent Order reached between the parties regarding division of the family home. In that agreement the parties agreed that the home would be divided equally between them with a \$20,000 adjustment in favour of the respondent. This adjustment was to account for the above-mentioned expenses.
- [6] Given that the post-separation expenses have been dealt with in the division of the family home, I order that the RRSP should be valued at the date of separation and divided equally between the parties. "The Honourable Madam Justice Murray"

Source & reproduction note

Judgment wording, source notices and original paragraph numbers are retained. Esheria supplies the layout and this PDF's page numbers; these are not the source pagination. This is a research copy, not an official or certified court document. Check quotations, citations and publication restrictions against the original source before relying on it.

ORIGINAL SOURCE <https://www.bccourts.ca/jdb-txt/sc/17/10/2017BCSC1011cor1.htm>

CASE PAGE <https://chat.esheria.ai/case-law/canada/d-l-v-j-l-2017>

Prepared on 30 October 2026 · Esheria