CANADA / JUDGMENT

Martin v. Beaudry

[1996] 1 SCR 898

COURT	DECIDED
Supreme Court of Canada	24 April 1996

Source: decisions.scc-csc.ca · Research copy typeset by Esheria. Not court-issued or certified.

Martin v. Beaudry Collection Supreme Court Judgments Date 1996-04-24 Report

[1996] 1 SCR 898 Case number 24844, 24847 Judges Lamer, Antonio; L'Heureux-Dubé, Claire; Sopinka, John; Gonthier, Charles Doherty; Iacobucci, Frank On appeal from Quebec Subjects Prerogative writs Notes SCC Case Information: 24847, 24844 Decision Content Martin v. Beaudry, [1996] 1 S.C.R. 898 Gérard Martin Appellant v. Paul-André Beaudry Respondent and The Attorney General of Canada Mis en cause and The National Parole Board Mis en cause and between Gérard Martin Appellant v. Paul-André Beaudry Respondent and The National Parole Board and the Deputy Commissioner of the Correctional Service of Canada Mis en cause and The Attorney General of Canada Mis en cause Indexed as: Martin v. Beaudry File Nos.: 24847, 24844. 1996: April 24. Present: Lamer C.J. and L'Heureux-Dubé, Sopinka, Gonthier and Iacobucci JJ. on appeal from the court of appeal for quebec Prerogative writs -- Habeas corpus -- Parole revoked -- Detention -- Application for writ of habeas corpus dismissed. APPEALS from judgments of the Quebec Court of Appeal, [1995] Q.J. No. 330 (Q.L.) and [1995] Q.J. No. 329 (Q.L.), affirming judgments of the Superior Court, which had dismissed the appellant's applications for a writ of habeas corpus. Appeals dismissed. Renée Millette, Jean-Sébastien Clément and Hélène Danais, for the appellant. Jennifer E. Briscoe and Richard Stark, for the respondent and the mis en cause. English version of the judgment of the Court delivered orally by 1 Lamer C.J. -- For the reasons given by Madam Justice Barrette-Joncas, as well as those of Mr. Justice Boilard, the two appeals are dismissed without costs. Judgment accordingly. Solicitor for the appellant: Renée Millette, Montréal. Solicitor for the respondent and the mis en cause: The Attorney General of Canada, Montréal.

Source & reproduction note

Judgment wording, source notices and original paragraph numbers are retained. Esheria supplies the layout and this PDF's page numbers; these are not the source pagination. This is a research copy, not an official or certified court document. Check quotations, citations and publication restrictions against the original source before relying on it.

ORIGINAL SOURCE https://decisions.scc-csc.ca/scc-csc/scc-csc/en/item/1371/index.do

CASE PAGE https://chat.esheria.ai/case-law/canada/martin-v-beaudry-1996

Prepared on 30 October 2026 · Esheria