

CANADA / JUDGMENT

R. v. Demedeiros

2019 SCC 11

COURT

Supreme Court of Canada

DECIDED

14 February 2019

Source: decisions.scc-csc.ca · Research copy typeset by Esheria. Not court-issued or certified.

R. v. Demedeiros Collection Supreme Court Judgments Date 2019-02-14 Neutral citation 2019 SCC 11 Report

[2019] 1 SCR 568 Case number 38269 Judges Moldaver, Michael J.; Gascon, Clément; Brown, Russell; Rowe, Malcolm; Martin, Sheilah On appeal from Alberta Notes SCC Case Information Decision Content SUPREME COURT OF CANADA Citation: R. v. Demedeiros, 2019 SCC 11, [2019] 1 S.C.R. 568 Appeal Heard: February 14, 2019 Judgment Rendered: February 14, 2019 Docket: 38269 Between: Nelson Silva Demedeiros Appellant and Her Majesty The Queen Respondent Coram: Moldaver, Gascon, Brown, Rowe and Martin JJ. Reasons for Judgment: (para. 1) Moldaver J. (Gascon, Brown, Rowe and Martin JJ. concurring) R. v. Demedeiros, 2019 SCC 11, [2019] 1 S.C.R. 568 Nelson Silva Demedeiros Appellant v. Her Majesty The Queen Respondent Indexed as: R. v. Demedeiros 2019 SCC 11 File No.: 38269. 2019: February 14. Present: Moldaver, Gascon, Brown, Rowe and Martin JJ. on appeal from the court of appeal for alberta Criminal law — Unreasonable verdict — Evidence — Assessment — Accused appealing convictions for sexual assault with weapon and unlawful confinement — Court of Appeal dismissing appeal — Deference owed to trial judge's credibility assessment — Verdict not unreasonable — Convictions upheld. APPEAL from a judgment of the Alberta Court of Appeal (Berger, Costigan and Slatter JJ.A.), 2018 ABCA 241, 364 C.C.C. (3d) 271, 74 Alta. L.R. (6th) 1, 431 D.L.R. (4th) 650, [2018] 10 W.W.R. 293, [2018] A.J. No. 831 (QL), 2018 CarswellAlta 1313 (WL Can.), affirming the convictions entered by MacDonald Prov. Ct. J., 2016 ABPC 129, [2016] A.J. No. 983 (QL), 2016 CarswellAlta 1833 (WL Can.). Appeal dismissed. Heather Ferg and Karen B. Molle, for the appellant. Troy Couillard, for the respondent. The judgment of the Court was delivered orally by

[1] Moldaver J. — We would dismiss the appeal, substantially for the reasons of the majority of the Court of Appeal. Judgment accordingly. Solicitors for the appellant: McKay Criminal Defence, Calgary; Karen Molle Law Office, Calgary. Solicitor for the respondent: Alberta Department of Justice, Edmonton.

Source & reproduction note

Judgment wording, source notices and original paragraph numbers are retained. Esheria supplies the layout and this PDF's page numbers; these are not the source pagination. This is a research copy, not an official or certified court document. Check quotations, citations and publication restrictions against the original source before relying on it.

ORIGINAL SOURCE <https://decisions.scc-csc.ca/scc-csc/scc-csc/en/item/17542/index.do>

CASE PAGE <https://chat.esheria.ai/case-law/canada/r-v-demedeiros-2019>

Prepared on 27 October 2026 · Esheria