

CANADA / JUDGMENT

R. v. M.S.

2003 SCC 11

COURT

Supreme Court of Canada

DECIDED

13 March 2003

Source: decisions.scc-csc.ca · Research copy typeset by Esheria. Not court-issued or certified.

R. v. M.S. Collection Supreme Court Judgments Date 2003-03-13 Neutral citation 2003 SCC 11 Report

[2003] 1 SCR 125 Case number 29251 Judges Iacobucci, Frank; Major, John C.; Bastarache, Michel; Binnie, William Ian Corneil; Arbour, Louise On appeal from Ontario Subjects Criminal law Notes SCC Case Information: 29251 Decision Content R. v. M.S., [2003] 1 S.C.R. 125, 2003 SCC 11 Her Majesty The Queen Appellant v. M.S. Respondent Indexed as: R. v. M.S. Neutral citation: 2003 SCC 11. File No.: 29251. 2003: March 13. Present: Iacobucci, Major, Bastarache, Binnie and Arbour JJ. on appeal from the court of appeal for ontario Criminal law — Driving offences — Dangerous driving — Trier of fact could have made finding of dangerous driving upon evidence but failure to do so not amounting to error of law. APPEAL from a judgment of the Ontario Court of Appeal (2002), 160 O.A.C. 184, 24 M.V.R. (4th) 165, 4 C.R. (6th) 157, [2002] O.J. No. 2194 (QL), upholding the accused's acquittal on dangerous driving counts. Appeal dismissed. Thomas D. Galligan, for the appellant. Michael H. O'Brien and Matthew Wells, for the respondent. The judgment of the Court was delivered orally by 1 Iacobucci J. — Mr. O'Brien, it will not be necessary to hear from you as the Court is ready to pronounce judgment. Before doing so, Mr. Galligan, the Court wishes to thank you for your able submissions. 2 This appeal comes to us as of right. In the final analysis, we agree with the majority in the Ontario Court of Appeal ((2002), 4 C.R. (6th) 157) that: "While there was evidence upon which a trier of fact could make a finding of dangerous driving, in the circumstances, the failure to do so did not amount to an error of law" (para. 11). 3 Accordingly, the appeal is dismissed. Judgment accordingly. Solicitor for the appellant: Ministry of the Attorney General, Toronto. Solicitors for the respondent: Sullivan, Festeryga, Lawlor & Arrell, Hamilton.

Source & reproduction note

Judgment wording, source notices and original paragraph numbers are retained. Esheria supplies the layout and this PDF's page numbers; these are not the source pagination. This is a research copy, not an official or certified court document. Check quotations, citations and publication restrictions against the original source before relying on it.

ORIGINAL SOURCE <https://decisions.scc-csc.ca/scc-csc/scc-csc/en/item/2041/index.do>

CASE PAGE <https://chat.esheria.ai/case-law/canada/r-v-m-s-2003>

Prepared on 29 October 2026 · Esheria