

CANADA / JUDGMENT

R. v. Murdock

[1992] 2 SCR 164**COURT**

Supreme Court of Canada

DECIDED

4 June 1992

Source: decisions.scc-csc.ca · Research copy typeset by Esheria. Not court-issued or certified.

R. v. Murdock Collection Supreme Court Judgments Date 1992-06-04 Report

[1992] 2 SCR 164 Case number 22632 Judges L'Heureux-Dubé, Claire; Sopinka, John; Gonthier, Charles Doherty; McLachlin, Beverley; Iacobucci, Frank On appeal from Ontario Subjects Constitutional law Notes SCC Case Information: 22632 Decision Content R. v. Murdock, [1992] 2 S.C.R. 164 Terrance Murdock Appellant v. Her Majesty The Queen Respondent Indexed as: R. v. Murdock File No.: 22632. 1992: June 4. Present: L'Heureux-Dubé, Sopinka, Gonthier, McLachlin and Iacobucci JJ. on appeal from the court of appeal for ontario Constitutional law -- Charter of Rights -- Trial within a reasonable time -- Delay in this case not unreasonable. Cases Cited Applied: R. v. Morin, [1992] 1 S.C.R. 771. APPEAL from a judgment of the Ontario Court of Appeal rendered September 5, 1991 allowing the Crown's appeal from a stay of proceedings ordered by Beaulne Prov. Ct. J. Appeal dismissed. Christopher McAviney, for the appellant. Scott C. Hutchison and David G. Algie, for the respondent. The judgment of the Court was delivered orally by //L'Heureux-Dubé J.// L'Heureux-Dubé J. -- It will not be necessary to hear from you Mr. Hutchison. The Court is ready to hand down judgment. The judgment will be pronounced by Mr. Justice Sopinka. // Sopinka J.// Sopinka J. -- This appeal, which is here as of right, is governed by the principles expressed by this Court in R. v. Morin, [1992] 1 S.C.R. 771, released March 26, 1992. Applying those principles, we agree with the conclusion of the Court of Appeal that the delay in this case was not unreasonable. Accordingly, the appeal is dismissed. Judgment accordingly. Solicitor for the appellant: Christopher R. McAviney, Ottawa. Solicitor for the respondent: The Attorney General for Ontario, Toronto.

Source & reproduction note

Judgment wording, source notices and original paragraph numbers are retained. Esheria supplies the layout and this PDF's page numbers; these are not the source pagination. This is a research copy, not an official or certified court document. Check quotations, citations and publication restrictions against the original source before relying on it.

ORIGINAL SOURCE <https://decisions.scc-csc.ca/scc-csc/scc-csc/en/item/886/index.do>

CASE PAGE <https://chat.esheria.ai/case-law/canada/r-v-murdock-1992>

Prepared on 29 October 2026 · Esheria