

CANADA / JUDGMENT

T. (K.N.) v. P. (G.R.)

[1992] 1 SCR 210**COURT**

Supreme Court of Canada

DECIDED

5 February 1992

Source: decisions.scc-csc.ca · Research copy typeset by Esheria. Not court-issued or certified.

T. (K.N.) v. P. (G.R.) Collection Supreme Court Judgments Date 1992-02-05 Report

[1992] 1 SCR 210 Case number 22232 Judges Lamer, Antonio; La Forest, Gérard V.; L'Heureux-Dubé, Claire; Gonthier, Charles Doherty; Stevenson, William On appeal from Quebec Subjects Family law Notes SCC Case Information: 22232 Decision Content T. (K.N.) v. P. (G.R.) [1992] 1 S.C.R. 210 K.N.T. Appellant v. G.R.P. Respondent Indexed as: T. (K.N.) v. P. (G.R.) File No.: 22232. 1992: February 5. Present: Lamer C.J. and La Forest, L'Heureux-Dubé, Gonthier and Stevenson JJ. on appeal from the court of appeal for quebec Family law -- Compensatory allowance -- Family residence purchased jointly by spouses but paid for by husband -- Claim for compensatory allowance dismissed -- No reason to vary trial judge's finding -- Court of Appeal's intervention unwarranted. Cases Cited Followed: M. (M.E.) v. L. (P.), [1992] 1 S.C.R. 183. APPEAL from a judgment of the Quebec Court of Appeal, [1990] R.J.Q. 2779 (sub nom. Droit de la famille -- 903), reversing in part a judgment of the Superior Court. Appeal allowed. Michel Lecompte and Luc Drouin, for the appellant. Daniel St-Pierre and Léo Kravitz, for the respondent. English version of the judgment of the Court delivered orally by Lamer C.J. -- Mr. Justice Gonthier will pronounce the judgment of the Court. Gonthier J. -- Referring to the principles set forth in the reasons in support of this Court's judgment in M. (M.E.) v. L. (P.), [1992] 1 S.C.R. 183, rendered on January 23, 1992, we are in agreement with the reasons of Rousseau-Houle J.A. dissenting in the court below. The appeal is accordingly allowed and the conclusions of the judgment of the Superior Court restored. There shall be no adjudication as to costs. Judgment accordingly. Solicitors for the appellant: Lecompte, Drouin, Carrière & Associés, Valleyfield. Solicitors for the respondent: Kravitz & Kravitz, Montréal.

Source & reproduction note

Judgment wording, source notices and original paragraph numbers are retained. Esheria supplies the layout and this PDF's page numbers; these are not the source pagination. This is a research copy, not an official or certified court document. Check quotations, citations and publication restrictions against the original source before relying on it.

ORIGINAL SOURCE <https://decisions.scc-csc.ca/scc-csc/scc-csc/en/item/833/index.do>

CASE PAGE <https://chat.esheria.ai/case-law/canada/t-k-n-v-p-g-r-1992>

Prepared on 26 October 2026 · Esheria