

ENGLAND AND WALES / JUDGMENT

Paul Threadwell v The Pensions Regulator

Source: caselaw.nationalarchives.gov.uk · Research copy typeset by Esheria. Not court-issued or certified.

NCN: [2026] UKFTT 00142 (GRC) First-tier Tribunal (General Regulatory Chamber) Pensions Regulation Case Reference: FT/PEN/2025/0273 Decided without a hearing Decision given on: 29 January 2026 Before JUDGE SHENAZ MUZAFFER Between PAUL THREADWELL and THE PENSIONS REGULATOR Appellant Respondent Decision: The appeal is struck out.

REASONS

1. The Appellant lodged his appeal with the Tribunal by email dated 09 December 2025.
2. Rule 8(3)(a) of the Tribunal Procedure (First-tier Tribunal)(General Regulatory Chamber) Rules 2009 provides that the Tribunal may strike out the whole or a part of the proceedings if the Appellant has failed to comply with a direction which stated that failure by the Appellant to comply with the direction could lead to the striking out of the proceedings or part of them.
3. On 10 December 2026 an email was sent to the Appellant by the Tribunal. The email stated that the appeal had been received but that it did not meet the requirement of rule 22(3) of the Tribunal Procedure (First-tier Tribunal) (General Regulatory Chamber) Rules 2009 that “If the proceedings challenge a decision, the appellant must provide with the notice of appeal a copy of any written record of that decision, and any statement of reasons for that decision that the appellant has or can reasonably obtain”. Case Management Directions were also attached to the email. The Case Management Directions stated that the Appellant must resubmit a Notice of Appeal that included all of the required documentation and information within 28 days of the date that the directions were sent.
4. The resubmitted Notice of Appeal should therefore have been provided by 07 January 2026.
5. The Case Management Directions also stated the following: “Important: This document is:
 1.
 2. A formal notice under rule 8(3)(a) of the 2009 Rules that a failure to comply with these directions could lead to the striking out of proceedings.
 3.”

6. The Appellant has not responded to the above direction and has not complied with it. 7. I am satisfied that the Appellant has not complied with the direction and has made no attempt to do so. The Appellant was notified that a failure to comply with the direction could lead to the appeal being struck out. In all of the circumstances, and taking into account the interests of justice and the overriding objective, it is appropriate to strike out the appeal and I therefore do so. Signed: Judge Shenaz Muzaffer Dated: 26 January 2026

Source & reproduction note

Judgment wording, source notices and original paragraph numbers are retained. Esheria supplies the layout and this PDF's page numbers; these are not the source pagination. This is a research copy, not an official or certified court document. Check quotations, citations and publication restrictions against the original source before relying on it.

ORIGINAL SOURCE <https://caselaw.nationalarchives.gov.uk/ukftt/grc/2026/142>

CASE PAGE <https://chat.esheria.ai/case-law/england-and-wales/paul-threadwell-v-the-pensions-regulator-2026>

Prepared on 23 October 2026 · Esheria