

SOUTH AFRICA / JUDGMENT

Biccard N.O and Others v Ndwandwe and Others (2023-033422) [2024] ZAGPPHC 973 (30 September 2024) [2024] ZAGPPHC 973

COURT

North Gauteng High Court, Pretoria

BEFORE

E van der Schyff

CASE / FILE NUMBER

2023-033422

Source: saflii.org · Research copy typeset by Esheria. Not court-issued or certified.

Source record

REPUBLIC

OF SOUTH AFRICA

IN

THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA

GAUTENG DIVISION,

PRETORIA

CASE NO.: 2023-033422

(1)

REPORTABLE: NO

(2)

OF INTEREST TO OTHER JUDGES: NO

(3)

REVISED: NO

Date:30

September 2024

E

van der Schyff

In

the matter between:

BIANCA
TIANA BICCARD
N.O.
FIRST APPLICANT
ATHOLL
DAVID VICTOR LIEBMAN N.O.
SECOND APPLICANT
JAQUELINE
JUNE LIEBMAN GENTILE N.O.
THIRD APPLICANT
and
JOHANNES
OUPA NDWANDWE
FIRST RESPONDENT
THEMBA
HENDRY MKHBELA
SECOND RESPONDENT
BRADLEY
BRETT LIEBMAN N.O.
THIRD RESPONDENT
ANDREA
LIEBMAN N.O.
FOURTH RESPONDENT
JONATHAN
HUGH STEPHENS
FIFTH RESPONDENT
ANGELENE
POOLE N.O.
SIXTH RESPONDENT
JANETTA
EVELYN CARR N.O.
SEVENTH RESPONDENT
PUMEZO
DAVID N.O.
EIGHTH RESPONDENT
MURRAY
JAMES BARNETSON N.O.
NINTH RESPONDENT
KANABO
GUGULETHU SKHOSANA N.O.
TENTH RESPONDENT
THE
MASTER OF THE HIGH COURT,
PRETORIA
ELEVENTH RESPONDENT
THE
COMPANIES AND INTELLECTUAL
PROPERTY
COMMISSION
TWELFTH RESPONDENT
CHRISTIANA

BICCARD
THIRTEENTH RESPONDENT

JUDGMENT

Van der Schyff J

- [1] I handed down a written judgment in this matter on 19 August 2024. The applicants filed an application for leave to appeal, which was heard on 16 September 2024.
- [2] The applicants raised numerous grounds of appeal. They supplemented their grounds by filing a notice expressing that I was biased against them.
- [3] After considering the papers filed in the application, the judgment, and the grounds of appeal, I am of the opinion that the appeal does not have a reasonable prospect of success. The written judgment contains the reasons for the order handed down on 19 August 2024, and I need not elaborate on this.
- [4] The application for leave to appeal stands to be dismissed.

ORDER

In the result, the following order is granted:

1. The application for leave to appeal is dismissed with costs, costs of counsel on scale B.

Judge of the High Court

Delivered: This judgment is handed down electronically by uploading it to the electronic file of this matter on CaseLines. As a courtesy gesture, it will be emailed to the parties/their legal representatives.

For the applicants: J.L. Kaplan With: E. Dreyer Instructed by: Aaron Stanger & Associates For the first and second respondents: E.R. Venter Instructed by: JHS Attorneys Date of the hearing: 16 September 2024 Date of judgment: 30 September 2024

Source & reproduction note

Judgment wording, source notices and original paragraph numbers are retained. Esheria supplies the layout and this PDF's page numbers; these are not the source pagination. This is a research copy, not an official or certified court document. Check quotations, citations and publication restrictions against the original source before relying on it.

ORIGINAL SOURCE <https://www.saflii.org/za/cases/ZAGPPHC/2024/973.html>

CASE PAGE <https://chat.esheria.ai/case-law/south-africa/biccard-no-and-others-v-ndwandwe-unknown-topic-agpphc-2024>

Prepared on 10 October 2026 · Esheria