

SOUTH AFRICA / JUDGMENT

Black Bond Surfacing (Pty) Ltd v Dynapac SA (Pty) Ltd (59158/2021) [2022] ZAGPJHC 974 (7 December 2022) [2022] ZAGPJHC 974

COURT

South Gauteng High Court, Johannesburg

BEFORE

Dlamini

CASE / FILE NUMBER

59158/2021

Source: saflii.org · Research copy typeset by Esheria. Not court-issued or certified.

Source record

REPUBLIC

OF SOUTH AFRICA

IN

THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA

GAUTENG

LOCAL DIVISION, JOHANNESBURG

CASE NO: 59158/2021

REPORTABLE:

NO

OF

INTEREST TO OTHER JUDGES: NO
REVISED.

In the matter between:

BLACK

BOND SURFACING (PTY)

LTD

Appellant

and

DYNAPAC

SA (PTY)

LTD

Respondent

Coram:

Dlamini J

Date

of hearing: 15 November 2022 – in a ‘virtual Hearing’ during a videoconference on Microsoft Teams digital platform.
Date
of delivery of Judgment: 07
December 2022
This
judgment is deemed to have been delivered electronically by circulation to the parties’ representatives via email and shall
be uploaded onto the caselines system.

JUDGMENT

[LEAVE TO APPEAL]

DLAMINI J

- [1] This an application for leave to appeal my judgment that I handed down on 9 August 2022.
- [2] This was rei vindicatio application for the return of certain equipment instituted by the respondent against the appellant herein
- [3] The background facts are commom cause. However, the appellant’s main arguments is that there are material dispute of facts in this case, as a result, the appellant submits that the application should be referred to trial for viva voce evidence.
- [4] It is trite that for an application for leave to appeal to be successful, the appellant must demonstrate that there are reasonable prospects that another Court would come to a different conclusion to that which was reached in the judgment that is sought to be taken on appeal.
- [5] The provisions of section 17 of the Supreme Court Act has now elevated the test to be applied for granting of leave to appeal. The use of the word “would” when considering the prospects of success in section 17 (1)(a)(i) , now imposes a more stringent and vigorous threshold.
- [6] In my view, the appelant’s claim of the existence of material dispute of facts has no merit and it is dismissed, this claim is solely intended to avoid appellant’s obligation to return the respondent’s machinery.

For all the reasons stated above and in my judgment, I make the following order:

ORDER

The application for leave to appeal is dismissed with costs

JUDGE OF THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA

GAUTENG LOCAL DIVISION, JOHANNESBURG

Date of hearing:

15 November 2022

Delivered:

7 December 2022

For the Appellant:

Adv AJ Venter

Email:

ajventer@law.co.za

Instructed by:

Martins Weir-Smith

For the Respondent: SG Dos Santos

Email:

suzydsantos@gmail.com

Instructed by:

James Bush

Source & reproduction note

Judgment wording, source notices and original paragraph numbers are retained. Esheria supplies the layout and this PDF's page numbers; these are not the source pagination. This is a research copy, not an official or certified court document. Check quotations, citations and publication restrictions against the original source before relying on it.

ORIGINAL SOURCE <https://www.saflii.org/za/cases/ZAGPJHC/2022/974.html>

CASE PAGE <https://chat.esheria.ai/case-law/south-africa/black-bond-surfacing-pty-ltd-v-dynapac-unknown-topic-agpjhc-2022>

Prepared on 12 October 2026 · Esheria