

SOUTH AFRICA / JUDGMENT

Bosaletse N.O. and Others v Minister of Mineral Resources and Others (1891/2013)

[2014] ZAFSHC 50 (15 April 2014)

[2014] ZAFSHC 50**COURT**

Free State High Court, Bloemfontein

BEFORE

Mocumie, Molemela, Jordaan

CASE / FILE NUMBER

1891/2013

Source: saflii.org · Research copy typeset by Esheria. Not court-issued or certified.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA FREE STATE DIVISION. BLOEMFONTEIN

Case No. : 1891/2013

In the matter between

REALEBOGA BOSALETSE N.O.....First Applicant
LUCY AMMON N.O.....Second Applicant
PUMZILE F. NGXITO N.O.....Third Applicant
MASEHLEPHO E. MQHAJANE N.O.....Fourth Applicant
TSIETSIE JOSEPH TAU N.O.....Fifth Applicant
DITABA L. SEBONYANE N.O.....Sixth Applicant
AADIL MATHER N.O.....Seventh Applicant
PATRICK A. MABILO N.O.....Eighth Applicant
ESIAS JEREMIA GERBER N.O.....Ninth Applicant
YUSUF KERBELKER N.O.....Tenth Applicant
FLOYD TEU N.O.....Eleventh Applicant
WHEATFIELDS INVESTMENTS
NO. 168 (PTY) LTD.....Twelfth Applicant
and

THE MINISTER OF MINERAL RESOURCESFirst Respondent

DIRECTOR-GENERAL, DEPARTMENT

OF MINERAL RESOURCESSecond Respondent

ACTING REGIONAL MANAGER MINERAL

RESOURCES, FREE STATE REGIONThird Respondent

DE BEERS CONSOLIDATED MINES LTD.....Fourth Respondent

PONAHALO HOLDINGS (PTY) LTD.....Fifth Respondent

REINET FUND SCA FIS.....Sixth Respondent

JAGERSFONTEIN DEVELOPMENTS

(PTY) LTDSeventh Respondent

MARIUS DE VILLIERS N.O.....Eighth Respondent

HENK JOHAN VAN ZUYDAM N.O.....Ninth Respondent

SIPHO PUWANI N.O.....Tenth Respondent

GONTHUSANG EUGINE GOLIATH N.O.....Eleventh Respondent

EZEKIEL ZAKHELE DUNJANE N.O.....Twelfth Respondent

KOPANONG LOCAL MUNICIPALITY.....Thirteenth Respondent

CORAM: MOCUMIE J, et MOLEMELA J, et JORDAAN J,

DELIVERED ON: 15 APRIL 2014

LEAVE TO APPEAL

MOCUMIE. J

- [1] This is an application for leave to appeal against the whole of the judgment and order by the applicants to the Supreme Court of Appeal. The grounds for the application are numerous as set out in the notice of application for leave to appeal and need not be repeated. The application is opposed by all the respondents except the first to the third respondents who abide the decision of the court. I am indebted to all counsel for the detailed heads of arguments which were helpful.
- [2] I have had the benefit of revisiting the judgment under attack and deem it unnecessary to traverse it paragraph by paragraph or to consider each ground of appeal separately suffice to say the following.

- [3] The proper approach to determining whether a judgment or order is appealable to the Supreme Court of Appeal is well settled.[1] For a judgment or order to be appealable to the Supreme court of Appeal the judgment or order must be final in effect; not be susceptible of alteration by the court of first instance; and definitive of the rights of the parties.
- [4] The order of this court is expressly confined to the interim relief under Part A of the notice of motion as sought by the applicants. The applicants have not and could not contend that the relief sought and granted was final in form or in effect. The applicants albeit open to them to argue that, notwithstanding the interim nature of the relief which they sought at the hearing, the dismissal of this application for interim relief was in effect final and definitive of the rights of the parties, had failed to make out such a case in their notice of application for leave to appeal or in their detailed heads of argument. A judgment and order of this nature is not appealable. The application falls to be dismissed on this basis alone.
- [5] As a result the question whether there are reasonable prospects that another court may reach a different conclusion hardly comes into play.
- [6] In the result, the following order is granted.

ORDER

Leave to appeal to the Supreme Court of Appeal is dismissed with costs.

B.C. MOCUMIE, J

I concur,

MOLEMELA, J

JORDAAN, J

On behalf of applicants: Adv Ellis

Instructed by:

Peyper Sesele Attorneys Inc.

BLOEMFONTEIN

On behalf of respondents: Adv Loxton and Van der Nest

Vosloo Attorneys

[1] Zweni v Minister of Law and Order 1993 (1) SA 523 (A); Government of South Africa and Others v Von Abo 2011 (5) SA 262 (SCA)

Source & reproduction note

Judgment wording, source notices and original paragraph numbers are retained. Esheria supplies the layout and this PDF's page numbers; these are not the source pagination. This is a research copy, not an official or certified court document. Check quotations, citations and publication restrictions against the original source before relying on it.

ORIGINAL SOURCE <https://www.saflii.org/za/cases/ZAFSHC/2014/50.html>

CASE PAGE <https://chat.esheria.ai/case-law/south-africa/bosaletse-no-and-others-v-minister-unknown-topic-afshc-2014>

Prepared on 12 October 2026 · Esheria