

SOUTH AFRICA / JUDGMENT

Competition Commission v Mailot Jaune Trading (Pty) Ltd (CR049Jul12/SA115Nov14 (019869)) [2015] ZACT 44; [2015] 1 CPLR 174 (CT) (29 April 2015)

[2015] ZACT 44

COURT

Competition Tribunal

BEFORE

A Roskam, I Valodia, A Ndoni

CASE / FILE NUMBER

CR049Jul12/SA115Nov14 (019869)

Source: saflii.org · Research copy typeset by Esheria. Not court-issued or certified.

COMPETITION TRIBUNAL

REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA

Case No: CR049Jul12/SA115Nov14 (019869)

In the matter between:

The Competition

Commission.....Applicant

And

Mailot Jaune Trading (Pty)

Ltd.....Respondent

Panel: A Roskam (Presiding Member)

I Valodia (Tribunal Member)

A Ndoni (Tribunal Member)

Heard on: 29 April 2015

Decided on: 29 April 2015

Order

The Tribunal hereby confirms the consent agreement as agreed to and proposed by the Competition Commission and Mailot Jaune Trading (Pty) Ltd, annexed hereto marked “A”.

29 April 2015

Date

Presiding Member

Mr A Roskam

Concurring: Prof. I Valodia and Ms A Ndoni

IN THE COMPETITION TRIBUNAL OF SOUTH AFRICA

HELD IN PRETORIA

CT CASE NO. 73/CR/JUL12

CCCASE NO. 2011JUL0155

THE COMPETITION

COMMISSION.....Applicant

and

MAILOT JAUNE TRADING (PTY) LTD.....Respondent

In re:

COMPETITION

COMMISSION.....Applicant

FRITZ PIENAAR CYCLES (PTY) LTD AND 13 OTHERS.....Respondents

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE COMPETITION COMMISSION AND MAILOT JAUNE TRADING (PTY) LTD (“MAILOT JAUNE”) IN REGARD TO ALLEGED CONTRAVENTION OF SECTION 4(i)(b)(i) OF THE COMPETITION ACT 89 OF 1998, AS AMENDED.

The Commission and Mailot Jaune hereby agree that application be made to the Tribunal for the confirmation of this Settlement Agreement as an order of the Tribunal In terms of section 49D as read with section 58 (1)(b) and 59(1)(a) of the Act on the terms set out below.

1. DEFINITIONS

For the purposes of this Settlement Agreement the following definitions shall apply;

1.1 “Act” means the Competition Act, 1998 (Act No. 89 of 1998), as amended;

1.2 “Commission” means the Competition Commission of South Africa, a statutory body established in terms of section 19 of the Act, with its principal

place of business at Building C, Mulayo Building, the DTI Campus, 77 Meintjies Street, Sunnyside, Pretoria, South Africa;

1.3 “Commissioner” means the Commissioner of the Competition Commission appointed in terms of section 22 of the Act;

1.4 “Complaint” means the complaint initiated by the Commissioner of the Competition Commission in terms of section 49B of the Act under case number:

2011Jul0155;

1.5 “Mailot Jaune” means a company duly incorporated with limited liability in accordance with the laws of the Republic of South Africa, with its main place of business at Shop 3, Hotline Centre, 41 Bullion Boulevard, Richards Bay, Kwazulu Natal;

1.6 “Parties” means the Commission and Maifot Jaune;

1.7 “Respondents” means all the firms that are cited as the respondents in the Commission’s complaint referral filed under Competition Tribunal Case number: 73/CR/JUL12 respectively, namely: Fritz Pienaar Cycles (Pty) Ltd f FPC”, Melody Street 18 (Pty) Ltd (“Melody”),

Moneymine 88 CC trading as Hotspot Cycles ("Hotspof), Pedal-On-Marketing CC trading as Maverick Cycles (“Maverick”),

Salojee’s Cycles CC (Salojee’s), West Rand Cycles CC (“West Rand Cycles”), Bowman Cycles (Pty) Ltd (“Bowman”),

Albatros Fishing & Cycling, previously named Winners Cycles (Pty) Ltd ("Albatros"), Omnico (Pty) Ltd (“Omnico”),

Cytek Cycle Distributors CC (“Cytek”), Coolheat Cycle Agencies (Pty) Ltd (“Coolheat”), Mailot Jaune Trading

(Pty) Ltd (“Mailot Jaune”), Indirect SA (Pty) Ltd (“Bicidetta*”), Le Peloton (Pty) Ltd fLe Peloton”, DBS

Distributing CC trading as Thule Car Rack Systems ("Thule”), Pedaling Dynamics CC trading as Dunkeid Cycles (“Dunkeld”),

Summit Cycles ("Summit”), Dynamic Choices Two CC trading as Bester Cycles (“Bester”), Johnson Cycle Works CC (“Johnson”), and New Just Fun Group (Pty) Ltd (“New Just Fun”).

1.8 “Settlement Agreement” means this settlement agreement duly signed and concluded between the Commission and Mailot Jaune; and

1.9 “Tribunal” means the Competition Tribunal of South Africa, a statutory body established in terms of section 26 of the Act, with its principal

place of business at Building Cr Mulayo Building, the DTI Campus, 77 Merntjies Street Sunnyside, Pretoria, South Africa,

2. THE COIMMISSION’S INVESTÍGAXIOMS AND FINDINGS

2.1 In September 2008, the Commission received information from anonymous source regarding the meetings which allegedly took place in Cape Town and Gauteng between various cycling retailers and wholesalers. The Commission was also provided with minutes of one such meeting. These minutes were also posted on the Hub Website, a web based forum for cycling enthusiasts in the cycling industry. The minutes provided to the Commission were of a meeting which occurred on 10 September 2008 (the September 2008 meeting) in Midrand.

2.2 As reflected in this minutes, the following key issues were discussed:

2.2.1 Increasing gross margins by increasing mark-ups for cycling accessories from 50% to 75%, and for bicycles from 35% to 50%;

2.2.2 A proposed time for the price increase (as from the 1st October 2008):

2.2.3 Getting rid of discounting and of shops undercutting each other;

2.2.4 Getting wholesalers to provide higher recommended retail prices ("RRPs") to the retailers and advertise these prices to the public,

2.3 Based on this information, the Commission Initiated a complaint in terms of section 49B of the Act, on 5 March 2009 against FPC and Cycle Lab (now Melody) under CC Case Number: 2009Mar4326, The representatives of these firms were identified as having been the instigators behind the September 2008 meeting. Both the firms against whom the complaint was first initiated are cycling retailers,

2.4 The Commission later obtained further information implicating other firms and the Commissioner amended the first initiation to include other respondents on 12 May 2009.

2.5 Following an investigation, the Commission referred the complaint to the Tribunal on 25 June 2010 against 28 respondents who were both cycling retailers and wholesalers, including all of the respondents identified in the amended initiation, On 12 November 2010, the Commission filed its amended notice of motion and supplementary referral affidavit

2.6 Pursuant thereto, the Commission withdrew the first referral on 10 June 2011 against all respondents named in the first referral,

2.7 On 18 July 2011, the Commissioner then initiated a fresh complaint under CC Case Number: 2011 Ju!0155, info the allegations concerning primarily the September 2008 meeting and received additional information from certain respondents pursuant to the investigation of this complaint.

3. THE COMMISSION'S REFERRAL

3.1 Following its investigation, the Commission concluded that the conduct by Mailot Jaune together with other respondents constituted a contravention of section 4(1)(b)(i) of the Act, in that they agreed, alternatively engaged in a concerted practice to directly or indirectly fix prices or other trading conditions. This includes both the retailers and wholesalers present at the September 2008 meeting since they are in the same line of business in respect of the sale of bicycles and cycling accessories and equipment, at the wholesale and retail levels respectively.

3.2 In light of its findings, the Commission decided to refer the complaint on 5 July 2012 to the Tribunal for determination.

4. AGREEMENTS

4.1 Admissions

4.1.1 Mailot Jaune admits that its conduct amounts to a contravention of section 4(1)(b)(i) of the Act.

4.2. Future Conduct

4.2.1 Mailot Jaune agrees to fully co-operate with the Commission in relation to the prosecution of any other respondents who are the subject of its investigations and referral to the Tribunal. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, Mailot Jaune specifically agrees to:

4.2.1.1 Testify before the Tribunal to such conduct and events forming the factual basis of the Commission's referral affidavit and this Settlement Agreement as are within Mailot Jaune's knowledge; and

4.2.1.2 To the extent that it is in existence, provide evidence, written or otherwise, which is in its possession or under its control, concerning the alleged contraventions set out in the Commission's referral affidavit

4.2.1.3 Desist from engaging in the conduct complained of.

4.2.2 Mailot Jaune agrees that it will In future refrain from participating in meeting(s) aimed at engaging in a cartel conduct which may lead to a possible contravention of section 4(1)(b) of the Act,

4.2.3 Mailot Jaune agrees that its employees, management, directors and agents will attend a competition law compliance training programme incorporating corporate governance to be provided by the Commission and designed to ensure that its employees, management, directors and agents do not engage In future contraventions of the Competition Act.

This agreement upon confirmation as an order by the Tribunal, is entered into in full and final settlement and concludes all proceedings between the Commission and Mailot Jaune relating to any alleged contravention by the respondents of the Act that is the subject of the Commission's investigation (CC Case no. 2011JUL0155).

Dated and signed at Pretoria on this the 17th day of October 2014

For Mailot Jaune

Bennie Reynders

Legal representative duly authorised to sign on behalf of Mailot Jaune Trading (Pty) Ltd

Dated and signed at Pretoria on this the 28th day of October 2014

For the Commission

Competition Commissioner

ANNEXURE “A”

The parties agree that a notice on the terms set out hereunder will be displayed in a prominent place in their premises for a period of 6 months from the date of the Competition Tribunal's order.

“[Name of a firm] has settled a complaint referral with the Commission and has undertaken to ensure full compliance with the provisions of the Competition Act 89 of 1998, ”

For further information feel free to contact the Commission on telephone number: 012 394 3200 or E~maif:CCSA@compcom.co.za.”

Dated and signed on this the 17th day of October 2014

For the Commission

For: Mailot Jaune

Source & reproduction note

Judgment wording, source notices and original paragraph numbers are retained. Esheria supplies the layout and this PDF's page numbers; these are not the source pagination. This is a research copy, not an official or certified court document. Check quotations, citations and publication restrictions against the original source before relying on it.

ORIGINAL SOURCE <https://www.saflii.org/za/cases/ZACT/2015/44.html>

CASE PAGE <https://chat.esheria.ai/case-law/south-africa/competition-commission-v-mailot-unknown-topic-act-2015>

Prepared on 10 October 2026 · Esheria