

SOUTH AFRICA / JUDGMENT

Gravitate Multi Video Content (Pty) Ltd and Another v ABSA Bank Ltd (2021-27241) [2024] ZAGPJHC 216 (4 March 2024)

[2024] ZAGPJHC 216

COURT

South Gauteng High Court, Johannesburg

BEFORE

Vally

CASE / FILE NUMBER

2021-27241

Source: saflii.org · Research copy typeset by Esheria. Not court-issued or certified.

Source record

REPUBLIC

OF SOUTH AFRICA

IN

THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA

GAUTENG

DIVISION, JOHANNESBURG

Case Number: 2021/

27241

1.Reportable:

No

2.Of

Interest to other Judges: No

4

March 2024

In

the matter between: GRAVITATE
MULTI VIDEO CONTENT (PTY) LTD
First Applicant
JUSTICE
KUDUMELA
N.O.
Second Applicant
and
ABSA
BANK
LTD
Respondent

JUDGMENT

Vally J

[1] This is an application for leave to appeal to the Supreme Court of Appeal (SCA) against a judgment and order I issued on 23 November

2023. The applicants herein were the first and second respondents in the main application. The judgment in the matter is comprehensive. It dealt with every relevant contention raised by them at that hearing. Nevertheless, they are aggrieved by the judgment and the order and asked that they be given an opportunity to ventilate their case in the SCA. They say that the judgment is beset by a number of misdirections which call for the attention of the SCA. The alleged misdirections are, according to them, a failure to attend to the issue of the ESD agreement between the first applicant and the respondent and more importantly a failure to find in their favour. In my judgment the application constitutes a repetition of all the arguments they advanced at the main hearing.

The relevant ones, as I say above, have been comprehensively dealt with in the judgment.

[2] Leave to appeal should not be granted simply because an applicant for leave complains that their contentions were rejected. There must be cogent substantive grounds raised that show that those contentions were correct either in fact or in law, and that their rejection was wrong to the point where it does not support the issuing of the corresponding order. This the present applicants do not do. There is thus no prospect that another court invested with the same facts as this court would come to a different conclusion.

[3] Accordingly, the following order is made:

a. Application for leave to appeal is dismissed with costs.

Gauteng High Court, Johannesburg

Date of hearing: 4 March 2024

Date of judgment: 4 March 2024

For the applicants: Johan Fourie

Instructed by: Saltzman Attorneys

For the respondents: G Amm

Instructed by: Werksmans Attorneys

Source & reproduction note

Judgment wording, source notices and original paragraph numbers are retained. Esheria supplies the layout and this PDF's page numbers; these are not the source pagination. This is a research copy, not an official or certified court document. Check quotations, citations and publication restrictions against the original source before relying on it.

ORIGINAL SOURCE <https://www.saflii.org/za/cases/ZAGPJHC/2024/216.html>

CASE PAGE <https://chat.esheria.ai/case-law/south-africa/gravitate-multi-video-content-pty-ltd-and-another-v-absa-unknown-topic-agpjhc-2024>

Prepared on 11 October 2026 · Esheria