

SOUTH AFRICA / JUDGMENT

Hlubi v S (A121/2022) [2023]

ZAFSHC 304 (6 June 2023)

[2023] ZAFSHC 304**COURT**

Free State High Court, Bloemfontein

BEFORE

Mhlambi, Opperman

CASE / FILE NUMBER

A121/2022

Source: saflii.org · Research copy typeset by Esheria. Not court-issued or certified.

Source record**IN THE HIGH COURT****OF SOUTH AFRICA,****FREE STATE****DIVISION, BLOEMFONTEIN****Case No: A121/2022****REPORTABLE:**

NO

OF

INTEREST TO OTHER JUDGES: NO

CIRCULATE TO

NO

MAGISTRATES:

In

the matter between:

THAPELO

HLUBI

Appellant

And

THE

STATE

Respondent

HEARD

ON: 29

MAY 2023

JUDGMENT

BY: MHLAMBI, J

CORAM: MHLAMBI, J et OPPERMAN, J

DELIVERED ON: This judgment was handed down electronically by circulation to the parties' legal representatives by email and released to SAFLI. The date and time for the hand-down are deemed to be 08h30 on 6 June 2023.

- [1] The appellant was convicted on charges of attempted rape, kidnapping and pointing of a firearm and sentenced to an effective 8 years' imprisonment. Having successfully petitioned this court, he was granted leave to appeal against the convictions.
- [2] The convictions were assailed on various grounds but the main ground that was pursued on appeal was that the appellant did not enjoy a fair trial[1] in that the cross-examination of the complainant was not completed after it was interrupted by the attendance of the inspection in loco.[2]
- [3] The appeal was opposed on the basis of the incomplete trial record and that the matter be removed from the roll until such time that the trial record was properly reconstructed. During oral argument, the respondent conceded the correctness of the record and abandoned its opposition of the appeal.
- [4] Mr Nkhahle, who acted on behalf of the appellant, referred to the certificate of correctness of record filed by the Regional Court Magistrate and pointed out that the record was adequate enough for the proper consideration of the appeal.[3] The cross-examination of the complainant was interrupted when an inspection in loco was held. The cross-examination of the complainant was never continued and finalised after the inspection in loco as indicated on the case record.[4] He contended that the incomplete cross-examination of the complainant was grossly irregular and vitiated the proceedings. He contended

furthermore that the testimonies of the other two witnesses called by the state did not implicate the appellant in anyway.

- [5] It was stated in the judgment that the state's case was based on the evidence of a single witness. The complainant's credibility was therefore of the outmost importance. The incomplete cross-examination denied the defence a significant opportunity to further place her credibility in issue.[5] This was an irregularity which negated the appellant's right to a fair trial. The conviction must therefore be set aside.
- [6] I therefore make the following order:

ORDER:

The convictions on all counts are set aside.

MHLAMBI, J

I concur

OPPERMAN, J

On behalf of the applicant: Adv. R. J Nkhahle Instructed by: Thebe Attorney Incorporated Suite 18 Keller Park 65 Kellner Street Bloemfontein On behalf of the respondent: Adv. S. Tunzi Instructed by: The Director of Public Prosecutions 3rd Floor Waterfall Centre BLOEMFONTEIN

[1] Section 35(3)(i) of the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa Act 108/1996.

[2] State vs. Motlhabane and Others 1995 (2) SACR 528 (B) at 532.

[3] State vs. Chabedi 2005 (1) SACR 415 (SCA).

[4] Page 30, lines 20-22 of the transcribed record.

[5] State vs. Manqaba 2005 (2) SACR 489 (W).

Source & reproduction note

Judgment wording, source notices and original paragraph numbers are retained. Esheria supplies the layout and this PDF's page numbers; these are not the source pagination. This is a research copy, not an official or certified court document. Check quotations, citations and publication restrictions against the original source before relying on it.

ORIGINAL SOURCE <https://www.saflii.org/za/cases/ZAFSHC/2023/304.html>

CASE PAGE <https://chat.esheria.ai/case-law/south-africa/hlubi-v-s-unknown-topic-afshc-2023>

Prepared on 9 October 2026 · Esheria