

SOUTH AFRICA / JUDGMENT

Maestro Housing (Pty) Ltd v Gunn and Others (JR 3095/11) [2015] ZALCJHB 34 (13 February 2015) [2015] ZALCJHB 34

COURT

Labour Court Johannesburg

BEFORE

Cele

CASE / FILE NUMBER

JR 3095/11

Source: saflii.org · Research copy typeset by Esheria. Not court-issued or certified.

REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA

THE LABOUR COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA, JOHANNESBURG

CASE NO JR 3095/11

DATE: 13 FEBRUARY 2015

Not Reportable

In the matter between:

MAESTRO HOUSING (PTY) LTD.....APPLICANT

And

HARRY ARTHUR GUNN.....1ST RESPONDENT

SELLO NANISO N.O.....2ND RESPONDENT

COMMISSION FOR CONCILIATION,

MEDIATION AND ARBITRATION.....3RD RESPONDENT

Date of ruling: 13 February 2015

RULING: APPLICATION FOR LEAVE TO APPEAL

CELE J

- [1] This is an application for leave to appeal against a judgment delivered by this court on 30 September 2014, when the court dismissed with costs, an application to review and set aside an arbitration award made by the second respondent.
- [2] The reasons for the judgment are recorded in the written judgment delivered by this court and I do intend to repeat them here.
- [3] Leave to appeal is ordinarily granted if there are reasonable prospects that another court (in this instance, the Labour Appeal Court) may come to a different

conclusion.

- [4] The applicant has raised a number of grounds on which the leave to appeal is sought. I have considered the applicant's submissions and those of the respondent. The applicant's submissions relate to the matters that were canvassed at the hearing and dealt with in the judgment. To the extent that the applicant submits that the court erred in finding that the second respondent's finding is correct, the commissioner's decision was one to which a reasonable decision maker could come, thus precluding interference by the court. The court is empowered to interfere with a commissioner's decision if and only if the decision the commissioner considers fair is so unreasonable that no reasonable decision-maker could come to. The present case does not fall into that category.
- [5] It is in my view that there is no reasonable prospect that another court may come to a different

conclusion.

I make the following order:

1. The application for leave to appeal is dismissed.
2. No costs order is made.

JUDGE OF THE LABOUR COURT

Source & reproduction note

Judgment wording, source notices and original paragraph numbers are retained. Esheria supplies the layout and this PDF's page numbers; these are not the source pagination. This is a research copy, not an official or certified court document. Check quotations, citations and publication restrictions against the original source before relying on it.

ORIGINAL SOURCE <https://www.saflii.org/za/cases/ZALCJHB/2015/34.html>

CASE PAGE <https://chat.esheria.ai/case-law/south-africa/maestro-housing-pty-ltd-v-gunn-and-others-unknown-topic-alcjhb-2015>

Prepared on 14 October 2026 · Esheria
