

SOUTH AFRICA / JUDGMENT

Mantella Trading 310 (Pty) Ltd v Kusile Mining (Pty) Ltd (1998/7391) [2014] ZAGPPHC 204 (21 February 2014) [2014] ZAGPPHC 204

COURT

North Gauteng High Court, Pretoria

BEFORE

Makgoka

CASE / FILE NUMBER

1998/7391

Source: saflii.org · Research copy typeset by Esheria. Not court-issued or certified.

Source record

REPUBLIC OF SOUTH
AFRICA

IN THE COURT OF
THE COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS

Patent

Case No: 1998/7391

DATE:

21 FEBRUARY 2014

In the matter

between

MANTELLA TRADING

310 (PTY) LTD.....Applicant

And

KUSILE MINING

(PTY) LTD.....Respondent

JUDGMENT

- Leave to Appeal

MAKGOKA, J:

[1] This is an application for leave to appeal against a part of the judgment of this court and its consequential order, made on 17 December 2013, in terms of which the plaintiffs patent infringement action against the defendant was dismissed, and the defendant's counterclaim for revocation of the plaintiffs South African Patent No. 1998/7391 was granted. The application is opposed by the defendant.

[2] The test applicable whether or not to grant leave to appeal, is trite and well settled. It is whether there are reasonable prospects that another court, given the same set of facts, might arrive to a different conclusion. This common law test has now been codified in s 17 of the Superior Courts Act, 10 of 2013.

[3] Having had careful and detached regard to the judgment, the notice of application for leave to appeal, as well as the oral arguments, I am satisfied that indeed there are reasonable prospects that another court might come to a different conclusion. I am therefore inclined to grant leave to appeal.

[4] As to the forum to which the appeal should lie, counsel are ad idem that the Supreme Court of Appeal should be seized of the matter. I agree. Matters such as the present, always involve difficult questions of interpretation and the law. I am therefore satisfied that the matter warrants the attention of the Supreme Court of Appeal.

[5] In the result the following order is made:

1. The applicant (plaintiff) is granted leave to appeal to the Supreme Court of Appeal;
2. The costs of this application are costs in the appeal.

TIVFMAKGOKA

JUDGE OF THE HIGH COURT AND COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS

Source & reproduction note

Judgment wording, source notices and original paragraph numbers are retained. Esheria supplies the layout and this PDF's page numbers; these are not the source pagination. This is a research copy, not an official or certified court document. Check quotations, citations and publication restrictions against the original source before relying on it.

ORIGINAL SOURCE <https://www.saflii.org/za/cases/ZAGPPHC/2014/204.html>

CASE PAGE <https://chat.esheria.ai/case-law/south-africa/mantella-trading-310-pty-ltd-v-kusile-unknown-topic-agpphc-2014>

Prepared on 10 October 2026 · Esheria