

SOUTH AFRICA / JUDGMENT

Moeketsi v S (172/2015) [2015] ZAGPJHC 312 (30 November 2015)

[2015] ZAGPJHC 312

COURT

South Gauteng High Court, Johannesburg

BEFORE

Mphahlele, Avvakoumides

CASE / FILE NUMBER

172/2015

Source: saflii.org · Research copy typeset by Esheria. Not court-issued or certified.

THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA

GAUTENG LOCAL DIVISION, JOHANNESBURG

CASE NUMBER: 172/2015

DATE OF HEARING: 30 NOVEMBER 2015

DATE OF JUDGMENT: 30 NOVEMBER 2015

In the matter between:

TSHUPANE BENEDICT MOEKETSI.....Appellant

And

THE STATE.....Respondent

CORAM: Mphahlele J and Avvakoumides AJ

J U D G M E N T

AVVAKOUMIDES, AJ

- [1] The Appellant was charged along with two other accused of robbery with aggravating circumstances. He pleaded not guilty to the charge but was convicted and sentenced to 15 years' imprisonment on 7 February 2013. The facts are briefly that the Appellant and his co accused held up and hi-jacked a male person in a Toyota Yaris motor vehicle, whilst using toy guns. All three were arrested within hours of the hi-jacking, whilst being in the motor vehicle.

[2] The appeal is based on mistaken identity by the complainant who could not identify the Appellant and his co-accused because of the darkness. The policeman however who arrested the three males noted their names in his pocket book, in the register and in the SAP 14 form. This was not disputed by the Appellant. The complainant and the owner of the vehicle were able to identify the vehicle the next day.

[3] I find that the trial court exercised its discretion properly and judicially under the circumstances and the conviction must

subsequently stand. All the relevant circumstances were taken into account by the trial court and in my view there is nothing that

warrants the attention of this court to the extent that we need to interfere with the conviction.

[4] On the sentence the Appellant submitted that the crime is not of the worst kind and the fact that the Appellant and his co accused used toy guns should count in their favour because the complainant was the only one who believed that the guns were real. I find this submission to be without any legal or other foundation and non-sensical. The sentence imposed is in accordance with the provisions of section 51

(2) of the Minimum Sentences Amendment Act 105 of 1977, and in the absence of substantial and compelling reasons to deviate from the prescribed minimum sentence, I find that there is no reason to interfere with the sentence as well.

[5] In the premises the appeal is dismissed.

G. T. AVVAKOUMIDES

ACTING JUDGE OF THE HIGH COURT

GAUTENG LOCAL DIVISION, JOHANNESBURG

I agree:

S. S. MPHAHLELE

JUDGE OF THE HIGH COURT

Representation for Appellant:

Counsel: J. L. Kgokan

Instructed by: Legal Aid SA Johannesburg

Representation for the Respondent:

Counsel: S. D. Mгимети

Instructed by: Director of Public Prosecutions

Source & reproduction note

Judgment wording, source notices and original paragraph numbers are retained. Esheria supplies the layout and this PDF's page numbers; these are not the source pagination. This is a research copy, not an official or certified court document. Check quotations, citations and publication restrictions against the original source before relying on it.

ORIGINAL SOURCE <https://www.saflii.org/za/cases/ZAGPJHC/2015/312.html>

CASE PAGE <https://chat.esheria.ai/case-law/south-africa/moeketsi-v-s-unknown-topic-agpjhc-2015>

Prepared on 9 October 2026 · Esheria