

SOUTH AFRICA / JUDGMENT

National Soccer League and Another v South African Football Association and Others (90375/2018) [2019] ZAGPPHC 170 (17 May 2019) [2019] ZAGPPHC 170

COURT

North Gauteng High Court, Pretoria

BEFORE

Collis

CASE / FILE NUMBER

90375/2018

Source: saflii.org · Research copy typeset by Esheria. Not court-issued or certified.

Source record

REPUBLIC

OF SOUTH AFRICA

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH
AFRICA

GAUTENG DIVISION, PRETORIA

(1)

REPORTABLE:

NO

(2)

OF

INTEREST TO OTHER JUDGES: NO

(3)

REVISED:

NO

CASE

NO: 90375/2018

17/5/2019

In

the matter between:

NATIONAL
SOCCER LEAGUE
FIRST APPLICANT
ABSA
BANK LIMITED
SECOND APPLICANT
And
SOUTH
AFRICAN FOOTBALL ASSOCIATION
FIRST RESPONDENT
OUTSURANCE
INSURANCE COMPANY LIMITED
SECOND RESPONDENT
OUTSURANCE
LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY LIMITED
THIRD RESPONDENT
OUTVEST
PROPERTY LIMITED
FOURTH RESPONDENT
OUTSURANCEHOLDINGS
LIMITED
FIFTH
RESPONDENT

JUDGMENT

COLLIS J:

INTRODUCTION

[1] The National Soccer League ("NSL") and ABSA BANK Limited ("ABSA") brought an urgent application against the South African Football Association ("SAFA") and four Outsurance companies ("Outsurance"). In the main application,[1] which is set down for hearing on 22-24 May 2019, the applicants seek an interim interdict preventing SAFA and Outsurance from continuing

to implement the Outsurance Agreement, pending the final resolution of the dispute either by means of arbitration proceedings,

alternatively review proceedings.

[2] Pursuant to the main application being launched SAFA filed notices in terms of rule 35(12) and 35(14) demanding the disclosure of 61 documents it alleged are referred to in the NSL's founding affidavit. SAFA further filed a rule 7 notice challenging the authority of the deponent to the founding affidavit filed on behalf of the NSL and ABSA in relation to the main application.

- [3] Based on the above mentioned notices, SAFA instituted interlocutory proceedings seeking orders to compel compliance with the notices.
- [4] On 6 May 2019, this Court was called upon to adjudicate on the two interlocutory applications. At the commencement of the proceedings the legal representatives had intimated to me in chambers that at a case management meeting held between themselves and the Acting Deputy Judge President on 26 February 2019, it was agreed that if convenient to me given the short time frame between the hearing date of the interlocutory applications to the hearing date of the main application, I could merely grant orders with reasons to follow in order to facilitate the hearing of the main application set down for 22-24 May 2019.

ORDER

- [5] Having therefore considered the affidavits filed of record and the respective arguments presented the orders which I issue is as follows:

5.1 In respect of the Rule 7 application: The application is dismissed with the first respondent ordered to pay the costs of the second applicant on an attorney and client scale from 1 May 2019.

5.2 In respect of the Rule 30A application to compel the furnishing of the documents sought in terms of rule 35(12) and 35(14): The application is dismissed with the first respondent ordered to pay the costs of the first applicant on a punitive scale, such costs to include the costs of three counsel.

- [6] This Court's reasons for the orders so given will follow in due course.

COLLIS J

JUDGE OF THE HIGH COURT OF

SOUTH AFRICA

Appearances:

For the First Applicant: C. Puckrin SC, F. Ismail and S. Scott

Attorney of the First Applicant: Webber Wentzel

For the Second Applicant: S. Budlender

Attorney for the Second Applicant: ENSafrica

For the First Respondent: J. Rautenbach SC and D. Tshabalala

Attorney for the First Respondent: GNG Incorporated

Date of Hearing: 6 May 2019

Date of Judgment: 17 May 2019

- [1] Founding Affidavit p 133 para 306

Source & reproduction note

Judgment wording, source notices and original paragraph numbers are retained. Esheria supplies the layout and this PDF's page numbers; these are not the source pagination. This is a research copy, not an official or certified court document. Check quotations, citations and publication restrictions against the original source before relying on it.

ORIGINAL SOURCE <https://www.saflii.org/za/cases/ZAGPPHC/2019/170.html>

CASE PAGE <https://chat.esheria.ai/case-law/south-africa/national-soccer-league-and-another-v-south-unknown-topic-agpphc-2019>

Prepared on 10 October 2026 · Esheria