

SOUTH AFRICA / JUDGMENT

Ndaba v Mhlambi (AR 267/10)

[2011] ZAKZPHC 17 (4 April 2011)

[2011] ZAKZPHC 17

COURT

Kwazulu-Natal High Court, Pietermaritzburg

BEFORE

K. Swain, J. Ploos van Amstel

CASE / FILE NUMBER

AR 267/10

Source: saflii.org · Research copy typeset by Esheria. Not court-issued or certified.

IN THE KWAZULU-NATAL HIGH COURT, PIETERMARITZBURG

REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA

CASE NO. AR 267/10

In the matter between:

VINCENT DELANI NDABAAPPELLANT

and

JOSEPH JAMELA MHLAMBIRESPONDENT

ADDENDUM TO JUDGMENT

SWAIN J

- [1] It has been brought to our attention, by the respondent's attorneys that the respondent is incorrectly reflected in the heading to the Judgment as Podbielski Mhlambi Incorporated, when the respondent is Joseph Jamela Mhlambi.
- [2] It is quite clear that this was a patent clerical error as envisaged by Rule 42 (1) (b) and the heading to the Judgment has been amended accordingly.
- [3] Of lesser importance is that the respondent's attorneys of record were reflected in the record of appearances as Podbielski Mhlambi Incorporated, when the respondent's attorneys were Louis M. Podbielski. This aspect has also been amended.

Dated at Pietermaritzburg this day of April 2011

K. SWAIN J

J. PLOOS VAN AMSTEL A J

Source & reproduction note

Judgment wording, source notices and original paragraph numbers are retained. Esheria supplies the layout and this PDF's page numbers; these are not the source pagination. This is a research copy, not an official or certified court document. Check quotations, citations and publication restrictions against the original source before relying on it.

ORIGINAL SOURCE <https://www.saflii.org/za/cases/ZAKZPHC/2011/17.html>

CASE PAGE <https://chat.esheria.ai/case-law/south-africa/ndaba-v-mhlambi-clerical-error-akzphc-2011-ar-267-10>

Prepared on 13 October 2026 · Esheria