

SOUTH AFRICA / JUDGMENT

S v Mahlangu (A 438/09) [2009] ZAGPPHC 254 (1 June 2009)

[2009] ZAGPPHC 254

COURT

North Gauteng High Court, Pretoria

BEFORE

Southwood, Pretorious

CASE / FILE NUMBER

A438/09

Source: saflii.org · Research copy typeset by Esheria. Not court-issued or certified.

NOT REPORTABLE

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA

(NORTH AND SOUTH GAUTENG HIGH COURT, PRETORIA)

DATE:01/06/2009

CASE NO:A438/09

High Court Ref No.: 83

Magistrate Serial No.: 65/08

Case No. M230/08

MAGISTRATE

NSIKAZI (Held at Matsulu)

THE STATE V BONGANI MHLANGA

REVIEW

JUDGMENT

SOUTHWOOD J

- [1] Or 7 August 2008 the accused was found guilty of theft (of Telkom cable) in the Kabokweni magistrates' court and sentenced to 2 years imprisonment In answer to the reviewing judge's query 35 to why he did not suspend part of the sentence the presiding magistrate stated –

‘The reason for the sentence is that the crime of theft of Escom cable in the district of Msikazi is very rife and highly inconveniencing to the community and the rendering of the essential services in the area. The value of the cable is R2 600, 00 but the inconvenienced

that has been caused by the cutting and stealing of that cable is very high The court felt that under the circumstances two years

direct imprisonment was an appropriate sentence/

[2] The Director of Public Prosecutions does not support the sentence which he considers to be disturbingly inappropriate in the

circumstances The Director of Public Prosecutions considers that the appropriate sentence was 2 years imprisonment with half suspended on appropriate conditions. I agree.

[3] While the theft of Telkom cables is very prevalent and causes severe disruption and inconvenience the presiding magistrate unduly

emphasised these factors and did not sufficiently take into account the relative youthfulness of the accused and the fact that he is a first offender. The sentence should have been properly balanced to punish and deter the accused by suspension of a part of the sentence.

[4] The following order is made:

i. The conviction is confirmed;

ii. The sentence is set aside and substituted with a sentence of 2 (two) years imprisonment, half suspended for 5 (five) years on condition that the accused is not again found guilty of theft committed during the period of suspension

iii. In terms of section 282 of Act 51 of 1977 the substituted sentence is deemed to have been imposed on 7 August 2008.

B.R. SOUTH WOOD

JUDGE OF THE HIGH COURT

I agree

C. PRETORIOUS

Source & reproduction note

Judgment wording, source notices and original paragraph numbers are retained. Esheria supplies the layout and this PDF's page numbers; these are not the source pagination. This is a research copy, not an official or certified court document. Check quotations, citations and publication restrictions against the original source before relying on it.

ORIGINAL SOURCE <https://www.saflii.org/za/cases/ZAGPPHC/2009/254.html>

CASE PAGE <https://chat.esheria.ai/case-law/south-africa/s-v-mahlanga-unknown-topic-agpphc-2009>

Prepared on 10 October 2026 · Esheria