

SOUTH AFRICA / JUDGMENT

S v Mazaleni (E1223/23) [2024] ZAECBHC 18 (22 July 2024)

[2024] ZAECBHC 18

COURT

Eastern Cape High Court, Bhisho

BEFORE

I.T Stretch, M. Lowe

CASE / FILE NUMBER

E1223/23

Source: saflii.org · Research copy typeset by Esheria. Not court-issued or certified.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA

EASTERN CAPE LOCAL DIVISION, BHISHO

Reference number: E1223/23

Review number: 5/2024

In the matter of:

THE STATE

versus

BULELWA MAZALENI

ACCUSED

REVIEW JUDGMENT

STRETCH J.:

- [1] This part-heard matter was sent on special review due to the fact that the legal practitioner representing the accused, being an attorney in private practice, did not have a valid fidelity fund certificate when his client pleaded to the charge and when the first prosecution witness testified.
- [2] Mr Sinclair from the Office of the Deputy Director of Public Prosecutions is of the opinion that this constitutes a gross irregularity and that the proceedings should be set aside. He is thanked for his helpful report in this regard.

- [3] Section 73(2) of the Criminal Procedure Act 51 of 1977 states that an accused person is entitled to be represented by a legal adviser at criminal proceedings, provided the legal adviser is not, in terms of any law, prohibited from appearing at the proceedings in question.
- [4] Section 84 of the Legal Practice Act 28 of 2014 renders it mandatory for an attorney practising for his own account, to be in possession of a fidelity fund certificate.[1] The act of practising without a fidelity fund certificate has been described by our courts as an irregularity that is of so fundamental

and serious a nature, that the proper administration of justice and the dictates of public policy require it to be regarded as fatal to the proceedings in which it occurred.[2]

- [5] In the circumstances, the Bhisho Office of the Deputy Director of Public Prosecutions has recommended that the proceedings should be set aside. I agree.
- [6] The proceedings are rendered a nullity ab initio, and they are set aside. Should the prosecution elect to do so, the trial should proceed de novo before a different magistrate.

I.T STRETCH

Judge of the High Court

I agree:

M. LOWE

Date handed down: 22 July 2024

- [1] See *Law Society of the Northern Provinces v Le Roux* (185/2015)
- [2015] ZASCA 168 (26 November 2015); *NW Civil Contractors CC v Anton Ramaano Inc.* (a judgment handed down in the Limpopo Local Division by A.M.L. Phatudi J under case number 993/2016, on 14 May 2018)
- [2] See *S v Van Eeden* 2018
- (2) SACR 218 (NCK) at [43]

Source & reproduction note

Judgment wording, source notices and original paragraph numbers are retained. Esheria supplies the layout and this PDF's page numbers; these are not the source pagination. This is a research copy, not an official or certified court document. Check quotations, citations and publication restrictions against the original source before relying on it.

ORIGINAL SOURCE <https://www.saflii.org/za/cases/ZAECBHC/2024/18.html>

CASE PAGE <https://chat.esheria.ai/case-law/south-africa/s-v-mazaleni-unknown-topic-aecbhc-2024>

Prepared on 10 October 2026 · Esheria