

SOUTH AFRICA / JUDGMENT

S v Mdebele (74/2013) [2013] ZAFSHC 130 (11 July 2013)

[2013] ZAFSHC 130

COURT

Free State High Court, Bloemfontein

BEFORE

Mhlambi, Lekale

CASE / FILE NUMBER

74/2013

Source: saflii.org · Research copy typeset by Esheria. Not court-issued or certified.

Source record

REPUBLIC

OF SOUTH AFRICA

Special

Review No. : 74/2013

In

the review between:

THE
STATE
versus
BUHLE
MDEBELE
CORAM: LEKALE,
J et
MHLAMBI.AJ

JUDGMENT

BY: MHLAMBI,AJ

DELIVERED ON: 11 JULY 2013

[1] This matter came before us by way of special review in terms of section 304(4) of Criminal Procedure Act 51 of 1977. On 30 October

2012 the accused, who was legally represented, appeared before the Bloemfontein Magistrates' Court on the charge of contravening

the provisions of section 5(b) read with sections 1, 13, 17, 25 and 64 of the Drugs and Drug Trafficking Act 140 Of 1992 (the Drugs Act) in that she dealt in 9,270kg of cannabis. She pleaded guilty and was, thereupon, convicted and sentenced to 3(three) years imprisonment or R60 000 (sixty thousand rand) fine.

[2] The trial magistrate has since discovered that the sentence is not in consonance with the provisions of section 17(e) of the Drugs Act and requests that

“...the Honorable Judge confirms the conviction, and set aside the sentence and impose the appropriate sentence as prescribed by section 17(e)”

[3] I am in respectful agreement with the learned magistrate that the sentence is not competent insofar as section 17(e) of the Drugs Act provides for mandatory prison sentence not exceeding 25 years without the option of a fine, which may be suspended in whole or in part, or for the imposition of such a custodial sentence together with a fine, in the discretion of the court, as a further sentence. (See S v TA GCOBA [2010] ZAKZPHC 75)

[4] The sentence is, therefore, not in accordance with justice while the conviction cannot be faulted regard being had to the section 112(2) statement submitted for and by the accused.

ORDER

[5] The conviction is confirmed but the sentence is set aside and in its place and stead is substituted the following:

“The accused is sentenced to 12 months imprisonment and a further R40 000 (fourty thousand rand) or 24 months imprisonment which is suspended in whole for three years on

condition that the accused is not found guilty of dealing in dagga during the period of suspension.”

[6] The sentence is antedated to 30 October 2012.

JJ MHLAMBI, AJ

I concur.

LJ LEKALE, J

Source & reproduction note

Judgment wording, source notices and original paragraph numbers are retained. Esheria supplies the layout and this PDF's page numbers; these are not the source pagination. This is a research copy, not an official or certified court document. Check quotations, citations and publication restrictions against the original source before relying on it.

ORIGINAL SOURCE <https://www.saflii.org/za/cases/ZAFSHC/2013/130.html>

CASE PAGE <https://chat.esheria.ai/case-law/south-africa/s-v-mdebele-unknown-topic-afshc-2013>

Prepared on 10 October 2026 · Esheria