

SOUTH AFRICA / JUDGMENT

S v Ncube (A798/16) [2016] ZAGPPHC 1174 (21 November 2016)

[2016] ZAGPPHC 1174

COURT

North Gauteng High Court, Pretoria

BEFORE

R G Tolmay, C Pretorius

CASE / FILE NUMBER

A798/16

Source: saflii.org · Research copy typeset by Esheria. Not court-issued or certified.

Source record

IN

THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA

GAUTENG

DIVISION, PRETORIA

A798/16

DATE:

21/11/16

HIGH

COURT REF. NO. : 293/16

CASE

NO

: A268/16

MAGISTRATE'S

SERIAL NO: 24/16

IN

THE MATTER BETWEEN:

THE

STATE

Vs

MBUYISELO

NCUBE

REVIEW

JUDGMENT

TOLMAY, J:

- [1] The accused, a 28 year old male, was convicted in the magistrate's court, Pretoria North on a charge of theft after a plea of guilty. He was sentenced to 24 months imprisonment half of which is wholly suspended for 3 years on condition that the accused is not convicted of theft during the period of suspension. He was declared unfit to possess a firearm in terms of section 103 of Act 60 of 2000.
- [2] The reviewing judge questioned the appropriateness of the sentence and the magistrate conceded that the sentence is unduly harsh.
- [3] The accused's previous conviction was committed during 2007, more than 7 years ago. I am of the view that the previous conviction should play a very limited role. Although it might not be as such an indication of remorse, it should at least be considered as a possible mitigating factor [1]. The plea of guilty must also be looked at in the broader context of all the relevant circumstances of the case. This will also include the fact that he and his wife were unemployed and have 2 children aged 4 and 2 years old and the goods were recovered. In the light of all the circumstances of the case the sentence is shockingly inappropriate and the Court should intervene.
- [4] I am of the view that a suspended sentence is appropriate under the circumstances and I am of the view that no cogent reason exist to declare him unlawful to possess a firearm.
- [5] Consequently I make the following order:

The conviction is confirmed and the sentence is set aside and substituted with the following:

"The accused is sentenced to 8 months' imprisonment wholly suspended for 3 years on condition that he is not found guilty of theft during the period of suspension."

R G TOLMAY

JUDGE OF THE HIGH COURT

I agree

C PRETORIUS

[1] S v Mvelase 1958(3) SA 126 (N) 127 D; S v Motaung 1959(1) SA 799 (T)

Source & reproduction note

Judgment wording, source notices and original paragraph numbers are retained. Esheria supplies the layout and this PDF's page numbers; these are not the source pagination. This is a research copy, not an official or certified court document. Check quotations, citations and publication restrictions against the original source before relying on it.

ORIGINAL SOURCE <https://www.saflii.org/za/cases/ZAGPPHC/2016/1174.html>

CASE PAGE <https://chat.esheria.ai/case-law/south-africa/s-v-ncube-unknown-topic-agpphc-2016>

Prepared on 11 October 2026 · Esheria