

SOUTH AFRICA / JUDGMENT

## S v Ntlathi (189/2010) [2010] ZAFSHC 53 (26 May 2010)

[2010] ZAFSHC 53

COURT

Free State High Court, Bloemfontein

BEFORE

A S Boonzaaier, G F Wright

CASE / FILE NUMBER

189/2010

Source: saflii.org · Research copy typeset by Esheria. Not court-issued or certified.

### Source record

FREE

STATE HIGH COURT, BLOEMFONTEIN

REPUBLIC

OF SOUTH AFRICA

Review

No. 189/2010

In

the review between:

THE  
STATE  
versus  
THAMI  
NTLATHI  
CORAM: BOONZAAIER,  
AJ et  
WRIGHT, J

### JUDGMENT

BY: BOONZAAIER, AJ

DELIVERED ON: 26 MAY 2010

- [1] This matter came before me on automatic review in terms of section 302 read with section 304 of the Criminal Procedure Act, 51 of 1977, (“the CPA”). The accused were charged in the Bloemfontein Magistrate’s Court on 23 April 2010 with dealing in an undesirable dependence producing substance to wit dagga. (The alternative charge being possession or use of dagga.)
- [2] The State withdrew the main charge against the three accused persons, but proceeded with the charge of the contravention of section 4 (b) read with sections, 13(d), 17(d), 18,19, 20 and 64 of the Drugs and Drug Trafficking Act, 140 of 1992, (“the Drug Trafficking Act “), for being in possession of or the use of 760 grams of dagga.
- [3] Accused number 1, (the only accused in this review) pleaded guilty and accused persons number 2 and 3 pleaded not guilty.

Accused number 1 was then convicted as charged and sentenced to R4 000,00 (four thousand rand) or 12 (twelve) months imprisonment of which R2 000,00 (two thousand rand) or 6 (six) months imprisonment is suspended for a period of 5 (five) years on condition that the accused is not again convicted of contravening section 4 (b) Act 140 of 1992 committed during the period of suspension. The dagga was forfeited to the State. Accused was declared unfit to possess a firearm.

- [4] A query was directed to the presiding officer as I was of the view that the order that the accused is unfit to possess a firearm was not in order. Section 103(1) (k) of Act 60 of 2000 does not permit of that.
- [5] The presiding officer supplied me with his comments and conceded that as the accused was convicted of the possession of dagga only an order declaring him unfit to possess a firearm was not permissible.
- [6] ORDER:
1. The order that the accused is unfit to possess a firearm is set aside
  2. The accused is to be informed about the alteration of the sentence.

-----

A. S. BOONZAAIER, AJ

I concur.

G. F. WRIGHT, J

/em

## Source & reproduction note

Judgment wording, source notices and original paragraph numbers are retained. Esheria supplies the layout and this PDF's page numbers; these are not the source pagination. This is a research copy, not an official or certified court document. Check quotations, citations and publication restrictions against the original source before relying on it.

**ORIGINAL SOURCE**      <https://www.saflii.org/za/cases/ZAFSHC/2010/53.html>

**CASE PAGE**              <https://chat.esheria.ai/case-law/south-africa/s-v-ntlathi-unknown-topic-afshc-2010>

Prepared on 9 October 2026 · Esheria