

SOUTH AFRICA / JUDGMENT

**Seef C L obo Devon and Aonther v  
Road Accident Fund (2011/31448)  
[2014] ZAGPJHC 159 (16 May 2014)  
[2014] ZAGPJHC 159**

## COURT

South Gauteng High Court, Johannesburg

## BEFORE

Wright

## CASE / FILE NUMBER

2011/31448

Source: saflii.org · Research copy typeset by Esheria. Not court-issued or certified.

REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA,

GAUTENG LOCAL DIVISION,

JOHANNESBURG

CASE NO: 2011/31448

DATE: 16 MAY 2014

In the matter between:

SEEF, C L obo LANGE, DEVON.....First Plaintiff

DELAFUENTE, L B obo DELEFUENTE,

ISABELLA LELA GRACE.....Second Plaintiff

And

ROAD ACCIDENT FUND.....Defendant

J U D G M E N T

WRIGHT J

1. The facts in this case are common cause. The deceased driver was driving along Betchuana Road. At its intersection with Terrace Road he took the slip-road to the left, going into Terrace Road. Terrace Road has two lanes going in in the direction that the deceased driver was traveling. On the left hand side, on the pavement at the point where the slip-road from Betchuana Road meets Terrace Road there is a pole. About 30 meters from that pole, on the pavement just left of the left hand lane in Terrace Road there is a street light pole.
2. At about 3:30am on the morning in question, a police vehicle was stationary in the left lane in Terrace Road next to the street light pole. The police had stopped the vehicle which was stationary immediately in front of the police vehicle. Another vehicle, (vehicle X) which had been traveling in convoy with the vehicle stopped by the police, was stationary, two vehicles in front of the police vehicle. The driver of vehicle X then did a u-turn, traveling over the solid line separating the lanes going in different directions in Terrace Road, completed a circular manoeuvre and stopped next to the police vehicle in the right hand lane, apparently to make inquiries. In so doing, the driver of vehicle X completed the blocking of both lanes in Terrace Road. The driver of vehicle X was in my view reckless.
3. The deceased driver, once he had entered Terrace Road drove into the back of vehicle X. It is not necessary for me to decide whether or not the deceased driver was negligent as the present action is a dependent's action.
4. The Fund is liable for all of the damages which the dependents can prove against the Fund.
5. By agreement the question of quantum is reserved for another hearing.

#### Order

1. It is declared that the Fund is liable for all of the damages suffered by the dependents arising out of the collision.
2. The Fund is to pay the plaintiffs' costs including those of the expert, R A Opperman.
3. The question of quantum is reserved for future determination.

#### JUDGE OF THE HIGH COURT

On behalf of the 1st & 2nd Plaintiffs: Adv. W Pye

082 416 1000

Instructed by: C N Sweetnam Attorney

011 648 9520

On behalf of the Respondent: Att. T C Salane

076 039 5087

Instructed by: Pule Inc

011 482 1044

Dates of Hearing: 16 May 2014

Date of Judgment: 16 May 2014

---

## Source & reproduction note

Judgment wording, source notices and original paragraph numbers are retained. Esheria supplies the layout and this PDF's page numbers; these are not the source pagination. This is a research copy, not an official or certified court document. Check quotations, citations and publication restrictions against the original source before relying on it.

**ORIGINAL SOURCE**      <https://www.saflii.org/za/cases/ZAGPJHC/2014/159.html>

**CASE PAGE**              <https://chat.esheria.ai/case-law/south-africa/seef-c-l-obo-devon-and-aonther-v-road-unknown-topic-agpjhc-2014>

Prepared on 10 October 2026 · Esheria