

SOUTH AFRICA / JUDGMENT

Synergy Income Fund Ltd v Certain letting enterprises owned by SA Corporate Real Estate Fund (103/LM/Nov11) [2012] ZACT 39; [2012] 2 CPLR 548 (CT) (16 May 2012)

[2012] ZACT 39

COURT

Competition Tribunal

BEFORE

Andreas Wessels, Medi Mokuena, Taki Madima

CASE / FILE NUMBER

103/LM/Nov11

Source: saflii.org · Research copy typeset by Esheria. Not court-issued or certified.

COMPETITION TRIBUNAL OF SOUTH AFRICA

Case No: 103/LM/Nov11

013706

In the matter between:

Synergy Income Fund LtdAcquiring Firm

And

Certain letting enterprises owned by

SA Corporate Real Estate FundTarget Firms

Panel : Andreas Wessels (Presiding Member) Medi Mokuena (Tribunal Member) Taki Madima
(Tribunal Member)

Heard on : 11 April 2012

Order issued on : 11 April 2012

Reasons

issued on : 16 May 2012

Reasons for Decision

Conditional approval

On 11 April 2012 the Competition Tribunal (“Tribunal”) conditionally approved the acquisition by Synergy Income Fund Ltd of certain letting enterprises owned by SA Corporate Real Estate Fund. The reasons for this conditional approval follow below.

Parties to transaction

The primary acquiring firm is Synergy Income Fund Ltd (“Synergy”), a variable loan stock company in terms of which each investor acquires linked units consisting of a share and a variable rate subordinate debenture. Synergy does not control any other firm.

Of relevance is that Synergy currently owns certain retail properties classified as shopping centres. Of further relevance to the

competition and public interest assessment of the proposed transaction is that Synergy has an existing management agreement with

Capital Land Asset Management (Pty) Ltd (“the Fund Manager”), which is responsible for the day-to-day management of the fund. The shareholders of the Fund Manager include Spar Group Ltd (“Spar”), which has a 20% interest in the Fund Manager.

The primary target (or transferred) firms consist of a portfolio of seven letting enterprises owned by SA Corporate Real Estate Fund (“SA Corporate”), a collective investment scheme in property, also known as Property Unit Trust. The target properties consist of the following retail shopping centres:

The Village Centre (also known as Richdens) located in Hillcrest in Pinetown;

Hubyeni Shopping Centre located in Elim, Limpopo;

Nzhelele Valley Shopping Centre located in Makhado, Limpopo;

Renbro Shopping Centre located in Hammanskraal, Pretoria;

Van Riebeeckshof Centre located in Bellville, Western Cape;

Highland Mews¹ located in Witbank, Mpumalanga; and

Ermelo Game Centre² located in Ermelo, Mpumalanga.

A Spar franchisee is an anchor tenant in the shopping centres identified in (i) to (v) above.

Proposed transaction and rationale for transaction

In terms of the proposed transaction Synergy will acquire the target properties from SA Corporate each as a going concern. These target properties will post-merger be managed by the Fund Manager.

Synergy’s rationale for the proposed transaction is to generate attractive income and capital appreciation with long term sustainable growth from profitable investments in retail property assets in South Africa.

SA Corporate no longer wishes to hold the to be transferred part of its retail property portfolio.

Assessment

No horizontal overlap

There is no geographical overlap between the activities of Synergy and the target properties since Synergy does not own any community and neighbourhood shopping centres in the same nodes or adjacent to the nodes where the target properties are located. Therefore, the proposed transaction raises no competition concerns from a horizontal perspective.

Vertical competition and public interest concerns

The Commission raised certain concerns relating to Synergy's relationship with Spar. As stated in paragraph 3 above, Spar currently holds a 20% interest in the Fund Manager which post-merger will manage the target shopping centres. The Commission's concerns related to the risk that such a relationship may exclude small competitors of Spar franchisees, i.e. so-called "line stores" such as bakeries, butcheries, green grocers and superettes, from the retail space controlled by Synergy.

More specifically, the Commission concluded that Synergy's strategy to invest in shopping centres that are anchored by Spar is of a concern since it could lead to the foreclosure of certain of Spar's rivals from these shopping centres. According to the Commission, given Spar's relationship with the Fund Manager, a Spar franchisee could be put in an advantageous position within a shopping centre and Spar could have the incentive to exclude potential competitors from a local area by denying them access to a particular shopping centre. The Commission noted that this is of particular concern due to certain exclusive lease agreements that are in place between the Spar franchisees and the respective landlord(s). These exclusivity provisions exclude certain rivals from setting up shops within the same shopping centre as the incumbent, i.e. the Spar franchisee.

The Commission further concluded that the proposed transaction raises public interest concerns in terms of section 12A(3)(c) of the Competition Act, 1998 since the above-mentioned exclusive leases explicitly deny space in a particular shopping centre to "part-line" retailers

which are likely to qualify as small businesses in terms of the Act.

Given the above concerns the Commission recommended, and the merging parties agreed, that two conditions be placed on the approval of the proposed transaction:

First, Spar should dispose of its shares in the Fund Manager in order to alleviate the concern around Spar's relationship with the Fund Manager. Such an undertaking by Synergy has been made a condition for the approval of the proposed transaction. In terms of the Tribunal's order Synergy shall undertake to procure that the Spar Group shall dispose of its 20% shareholding in the Fund Manager (see paragraph 3.1 of the imposed conditions).

Second, to address the concerns relating to exclusivity the Commission recommended and the merging parties offered to use their best endeavours to negotiate with Spar to have certain identified exclusivity provisions removed from the lease agreements with Spar franchisees in the relevant target shopping centres. This undertaking has also been made a condition for the approval of the proposed transaction. The Tribunal's order states that Synergy shall negotiate with Spar and its relevant franchisee in the utmost good faith to have the exclusivity clause in the lease agreement removed at the renewal of the lease in respect of the relevant centre (see paragraph 3.2 of the imposed conditions).

Effect on employment

The merging parties confirmed that the proposed transaction will not have any effect on employment in South Africa, more specifically

that no retrenchments are envisaged as a result of the proposed transaction.⁴

CONCLUSION

We concur with the Commission's finding that in the context of this merger the imposed conditions adequately address and are proportional to any likely competition and/or public interest concerns arising from the proposed transaction. For the sake of completeness, the full set of the Tribunal's imposed conditions is attached hereto as "Annexure A".

16 May 2012

Andreas Wessels DATE

M Mokuena and T Madima concurring

Tribunal researcher: Londiwe Senona

For the merging parties: Webber Wentzel Attorneys

For the Commission: Jabulani Ngobeni and Zanele Hadebe

¹Currently anchored by Woolworths.

²Currently anchored by Game.

³Act No. 89 of 1998, as amended.

⁴Merger record, page 14.

5

Source & reproduction note

Judgment wording, source notices and original paragraph numbers are retained. Esheria supplies the layout and this PDF's page numbers; these are not the source pagination. This is a research copy, not an official or certified court document. Check quotations, citations and publication restrictions against the original source before relying on it.

ORIGINAL SOURCE <https://www.saflii.org/za/cases/ZACT/2012/39.html>

CASE PAGE <https://chat.esheria.ai/case-law/south-africa/synergy-income-fund-ltd-v-certain-unknown-topic-act-2012>

Prepared on 12 October 2026 · Esheria