

SOUTH AFRICA / JUDGMENT

Unilever PLC v Alberto To—Culver Company (77/LM/Dec10) [2011] ZACT 21 (12 April 2011)

[2011] ZACT 21

COURT

Competition Tribunal

BEFORE

Norman Manoim, Yasmin Carrim, Andreas Wessels

CASE / FILE NUMBER

77/LM/Dec10

Source: saflii.org · Research copy typeset by Esheria. Not court-issued or certified.

COMPETITION TRIBUNAL OF SOUTH AFRICA

Case No:77/LM/Dec10

In the matter between:

UNILEVER PLCAcquiring Firm

And

ALBERTO-CULVER COMPANYTarget Firm

Panel : Norman Manoim (Presiding Member), Yasmin Carrim (Tribunal Member)

Andreas Wessels (Tribunal Member)

Heard on : 23 March 2011

Order issued on : 23 March 2011

Reasons

issued on : 12 April 2011

Reasons for Decision

Approval

On 23 March 2011 the Competition Tribunal (“Tribunal”) approved the large merger between Unilever Plc and Alberto-Culver

Company. The reasons for approving the proposed transaction follow below.

The Parties to the transaction

The primary acquiring firm is Unilever Group (“Unilever”) which has two parent companies, namely, Unilever Plc and Unilever N.V. Both Unilever Plc and Unilever N.V are public companies listed on the London Stock Exchange and Euronext Amsterdam respectively. Both are not controlled by any single entity. In South Africa, Unilever provides its activities through Unilever South Africa (Pty) Ltd, which is controlled by Unilever South Africa Holdings (Pty) Ltd, which in turn is controlled by Unilever Best Foods Holdings LLC and Unilever Holdings BV.

The target firm is Alberto-Culver Company, a company listed on the New York Stock Exchange.

The Rationale

Alberto-Culver submits that the proposed transaction will increase competition because it will enable it to become effective in the face of competition from larger companies with a more diversified offering and greater financial resources.

The parties’ activities

Unilever is a worldwide supplier of fast moving consumer goods, food, home care and personal care categories. In the food and beverages category, Unilever supplies products such as soups, spreads, beverages, sauces, oils and ice cream under the brands Lipton, Magnum, Bertolli and Becel. In the personal care category, it provides deodorants, bath and shower products, skin care products, oral care products and hair care products under the following brands, Dove, Sunsilk, Organics and Timonei. Of these only Organics and Sunsilk are currently marketed in South Africa.

In South Africa, Alberto’s only activities involve hair care products under brands such as, TRESemmé, Nexxus, Soft and Beautiful, Motions, and Just for Me.

The relevant market and the impact on competition

The Commission found that there is a distinction in hair care products based on the type of hair, that is, Caucasian and ethnic hair type. It defined the relevant product market to include eight categories, being ethnic shampoos, conditioners, styling and relaxers; and Caucasian shampoos, conditioners, styling and hairs sprays.

With respect to all the ethnic products, and the Caucasian styling and hairsprays, the Commission found that the proposed transaction is unlikely to prevent or substantially lessen competition, due to either low combined market shares or low market share accretion.

Unlike in the Caucasian hair market where big brands tend to dominate, these other markets as defined by the Commission seem to be populated by many suppliers.

The Commission paid a lot of attention to the Caucasian hair shampoo and conditioners market where there is high post merger combined market share.

The combined post merger market share of Unilever in the shampoos and conditioners market would be as follows:

Table 1: Shampoos

Table 2: Conditioners and treatments

The merging parties' prominent brands in the Caucasian shampoo and conditioners market are Sunsilk and Organics (Unilever) and TRESemmé (Alberto Culver). The Commission found that these brands were likely to be the closest competitors to each other. This was confirmed by views of customers.¹

Despite the high post merger market shares, the Commission found that this did not raise concerns about unilateral or co-ordinated effects. This is because entry in this market is easy both at a brand level and at adjacent market level. It submitted that brands in the Caucasian shampoo and conditioner market could be segmented into four categories such as affordability, value for money, prestige, and premiums. However the Commission did not deem it necessary to define the market with respect to those particular segments because of the ease with which brands can be re-launched from one segment to another. An example of this was TRESemmé itself which had been re-launched from the salon segment into the retail market in the value for money segment. The Commission further submitted that there was potential entry, from competitors that operate in adjacent ethnic markets, into the Caucasian market. It argued that the market is a very dynamic and innovative one and that to remain relevant and dynamic, even big companies re-launch brands all the time.

It was further submitted that the market is very price sensitive and that market share increases and decreases were immediately

discernable when prices moved up or down. Further, that although brand recognition is important, consumers are not particularly brand loyal, and that consumers would respond to increases in process by trading down. The merging parties confirmed this at the hearing and pointed to fluctuations in market shares of specific brands as evidence of the sensitivity of these products to changes in pricing.

The Commission further found that there is some level of countervailing power from large retailers because what they stock is determined by the consumers' preference. In the past retailers have simply taken product off the shelves where sales volumes were too low due to high pricing.²

In light of the above, the Commission found that the transaction is unlikely to substantially prevent or lessen competition in the relevant markets.

In relation to the concerns raised in the United States with regard to TRESemmé, VO5 and some of Unilever brands, it was submitted on behalf of the merging parties that it would be hard to draw comparisons because the market conditions and circumstances in the United States are different from those in South Africa, due to the fact that Alberto Culver has a much bigger

presence in the United States than in South Africa. Drawing meaningful comparisons was also made more difficult because the Unilever brands sold in South Africa are different from those in the United States, an example being Organics, which is an exclusively South African product.

In light of the above, we find that the transaction would not substantially prevent or lessen competition in the relevant markets.

CONCLUSION

In relation to the public interest issues, Unilever undertook that out of a total of 25 employees, there will be no more than 15 retrenchments. Further that apart from four jobs which are more blue collar type, the remainder are white collar employees who would not have too many difficulties in finding alternative employment.

There are no significant public interest issues and we accordingly approve the transaction.

12 April 2011

YASMIN CARRIM DATE

N Manoim and A Wessels concurring.

Tribunal Researcher: Tebogo Hlafane

For the merging parties: Nortons Inc

For the Commission: Mr Mfundo Ngobese

Mr Nicholas Ngepah

¹See Commission recommendation page 71

²See the views expressed by customers of the merging parties at Competition Commission's recommendation page 81-82

5

Source & reproduction note

Judgment wording, source notices and original paragraph numbers are retained. Esheria supplies the layout and this PDF's page numbers; these are not the source pagination. This is a research copy, not an official or certified court document. Check quotations, citations and publication restrictions against the original source before relying on it.

ORIGINAL SOURCE <https://www.saflii.org/za/cases/ZACT/2011/21.html>

CASE PAGE <https://chat.esheria.ai/case-law/south-africa/unilever-plc-v-alberto-unknown-topic-act-2011>

Prepared on 11 October 2026 · Esheria