

TANZANIA / JUDGMENT

david msumba vs tanesco 2022

tzhcld 893 23 september 2022

COURT
TANZLIIDECIDED
23 September 2022

Source: media.tanzlii.org · Research copy typeset by Esheria. Not court-issued or certified.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF TANZANIA LABOUR DIVISION AT DAR ES SALAAM LABOUR REVISION
NO. 263 OF 2021 Arising from the decision of the Commission for Mediation and Arbitration of
DSM at Temeke (Hon. Makidadi: Arbitrator) dated 24th day of May 2021 in Labour Dispute No.
CMA/DSM/TEM/704/2018 DAVID MSUMBA APPLICANT VERSUS
TANESCO..... RESPONDENT

RULING

K. T. R. MTEULE, J 12th September 2022 & 23rd September 2022 This Revision application emanates from the ruling of the Commission for Mediation and Arbitration of Dar es Salaam, Temeke (CMA) in Labour Dispute No. CMA/DSM/TEM/704/2018. This court has been asked to call for records, revise and set aside the ruling of the CMA. The application is confronted by a Preliminary Objection raised by the Respondent to challenge the jurisdiction of the CMA and that of this court. The preliminary objection is premised on the fact that this matter being filed against TANESCO which is a public corporation, the applicant is a public servant and he has to exhaust the remedies provided under Section 32 A of the Public Service Act, prior to lodging any matters vide the labour laws. i The applicant did not object the gist of the preliminary objection. Although the applicant concedes to the preliminary objection, he prayed for the court not to dismiss the application but to strike it out to allow future determination through the appropriate forum. Having considered the applicants submission and prayer I have a question to determine as to whether this application needs to be struck out or dismissed. Although it is agreed by the parties that this court and the CMA lacks jurisdiction, the matter is not conclusive. The lack of jurisdiction is due to the lodgement of the matter in a wrong forum. In law, dismissal renders a matter to have effect of being finally concluded. In this matter, the applicants have more options to continue with pursuing his rights. I agree dismissal will bar more claims in respect of this matter. I agree with the applicant that striking out is the appropriate measure for parties who still have chance in law to proceed with the claims. The instant application is therefore struck out and the matter in the CMA is quashed and set aside for being filed in wrong forums. Each party shall bear its own cost. It is so ordered. Date at Dar es Salaam this 23rd Day of September 2022. M KATARINA REVOCATI MTEULE JUDGE 23/09/2022 2

Source & reproduction note

Judgment wording, source notices and original paragraph numbers are retained. Esheria supplies the layout and this PDF's page numbers; these are not the source pagination. This is a research copy, not an official or certified court document. Check quotations, citations and publication restrictions against the original source before relying on it.

ORIGINAL SOURCE https://media.tanzlii.org/media/judgment/151099/source_file/david-msumba-vs-tanesco-2022-tzhcld-893-23-september-2022.pdf

CASE PAGE <https://chat.esheria.ai/case-law/tanzania/david-msumba-v-tanesco-jurisdiction-tanzlii-2022>

Prepared on 14 October 2026 · Esheria