

19930122 TZHC Dar es Salaam

COURT
TZHC

DECIDED
22 January 1993

Source: media.tanzlii.org · Research copy typeset by Esheria. Not court-issued or certified.

I L;r IJII'!'Ti TIIG:I COU:t f OF TAWZJ:J.tti 1[J: .DL:L E,'3 SKW.AM r ,.....-...-----
cm.:m:nr;;.L .APPT::AL 4y 92 ORIG. cr.iIB,IDf}..L cs no.. 26/89 01; ,T.-:-3 DIJTt1..lCT cou.a.T OF
IP Tit:. •ile S:..._)pel l V'l't' -,-,...-:-f\l'r,T m.. n-.,.,T--.,,,, + *7.~rc,I '11/i:'.,•-,, --
•~,.,r ,.b,2!~cw,1.,; i'i.,u'w•uU.1:' J:l,p i'THS' 1-Ti v::1 O:i.10 i. !!LIJ,iL 1•1U,,,:;. ~'l-i-u:..., ob.e.z-
~d with fo:...c;er~: o/ ss 333 c.na. 3'57 of t~e Pe:lrJ. Code u,lteJ,'i."lq; ::i. false ,9-0~;nent o/s 3t12
of t:ie Penal Code 8.nd oota,in:i.11,;, money ey faloa):E'et~1I tJ! ~2 Gf the Paru::J. Code :ln the
District Cottt't of Il2.la at K:tsutu. Q 'l.1':le prQeeu.tio11 cJ.10;_od tl:-t on or about 19 .. 9 38
within Kinondoni 6 l>istrict the two of •bl.:em forsea. cheque Ho.c.099596]?UJ:'l)orlinG to bo
w.:awn by N.UIT EXPOB.'111!.[,E OOoi:~frf"f • It ue.s ftu:the1• cJ.lec,od 1:zy- the i:r,•ooecrJ.tiQn
t';1:::t pn or a.bout 23. 9. 00 the two of them c:t :Pol~-sacks CollIJ?GllY L:tmited wi tj.in
Kinondo;tl Dir::t:~:-Lct, 1-ritb. intent to def;;,..:;;t,Ji or deceive did ,,_p1;ain 20000 :Pieces of
polyp;:-oplene 1)a&,-S vcJ.t1.cd at sb.s. 988000/= t1:::e ~:' prQpe:My of Polysr;d.-:S ColiT,;?2sny
Limited by depositing a folse o~'l.aque ~~~ ~ C· 099596 of Siti3. 1\$2000/"'' pm.l)ort ing to show
thr,,t the su,\d d.:acn.w. loRb ~ - . ~ 'by EU"11-Y i-Z::O::~~.ii':;;;J C01IPi.JT'I LJjITTZD., -'• tile A/
f end OJ:.,, t;:::o uj;'J.Ql t .J.e f"irst , 2.cc,me d , srr, J.. • 1 7 -,;- 1•lu,,;:,i,t;j. i t.i.t.,i.;,.... '!l
l'rr'QI, -,-;_j-Tn,• o,,;:,;:-:~,-, ,~,, , ~ f\$)'Ul'd nc>li guilt;r on c,11 counts o.na. 'TTT':S acq"Lti.tted.
Tlte appellu;,.rt J,;:d:mDia!" ~ ~)UA.I;UJPE r....s fcN.UJ.d. c;Lti.l.t~r of only uttering a f'd.se
document ~'lld i?to+i~ 20000 "i,j;_-c;s b;~ fd.lse pretenceso T'ne1.~e wr.s a thi.-c-d po.,-:-a9,n,
l',,,'T~ SIMOtt .fu']YI/J; .rho ffas c}J.ai.-ged w:i. th dest:ro;y:~1.n(' e-v5.denoe o/s 109 9f ~::~~ ~al
Code, t},,G ::;:iroseo---1.:tion aJ.le£,ing tiu,th e.dest;ro~red ollecr.~ ~ 1fo. Q'!:,999~96 of shs.,
1L1,D2000/=, but we.a found not c-1-1.Uty ruld. WCJ:l aoq-,.,tlo¾ Mre; MwokM1,ln[j;1JJ..rf
lec.r:ned col.111S el f o:"' t:_0 c2;~0J.lo.nt 1 su'bmitted i,--i h;I• fir.at ~und of' O.Z::1:I>G-2.1. -
tj.:lc:t tl,e le2.J.:oncl tricJ. magistrnte h.v.d. o....""Ted ~ imr 8.lld inf"~t i:1 oo:w:'!•Ot:~. 1lg the
ap))ellunt 'l'Tilen the:~,e was no evidence

19. f1JMr that he m~,s t~2e a1..tb.o.;;- and owne.'"i:.' of the c1lec;ed chacl:u.~, ~ the 1 se.id
cheqti.e li'c.lS nov02 produced in C0tU.'t fo2: 8 1:-' .tt'.C.hor sent to ff. J.wnd- lrrrl¥lS e:x:perl
fo~ ve;;:-1:f'ica.tion.. In the second {?-om1d of appec,J. it 1P A!?!Jl'tended that the t:::fa.l
coti.rt e:i:Ted in lc.w G...'ld in:fnc't in conv:i..oti,nJ the o.ppellant lihen thc.20 112.s r;le.J:>ing
eVidence to s~low thnt e1.:eq_u.a leaf Jift>, 099.596 did not oz-ic;i:::1ate from t~e
a_ppellc11t I s cheque book • .../er-

- ,... . 2 1-J'ith respect I r.,,:700 uth H:i'. l•fur:i:cG,Su:ng,-0.c, thr:i.t the conviction of the appall.mt 02n.1ot "1Je sustained.e ii.s tl1e 3.lleJedly forged 0~1.oq_i."!8 1ms not p.roduoed in OOt".J.~ for him to eX8.m:L'.1.e and :.11::}:.e D.. fi:l'ld:L,g t;~e;:-0on ttle lea.i.--ned t:-c-icl ~strata did not convict the appellant of fo:i..'(fGX'Y ohcxged in the f:h-ct oo-u;:~lio Instec.d. the lec.,j'lted t:dal. Iilc'1,{:,i.strc,to oonvioted the Sspel~1t of utte:i:'i.--ig a f dse doatwent G.a."ld obta.i.n:i.nG 20000 bags by fdse J?Zetances,. But these tno ocnot st011d sj.0..10 without evidence t:~t fa.o On&IJ.1¼8 was :L."lfoot a forcer;r. T'i.1e oi'fe..100 of uttaxing a fru.se d.oo:t,ia.1t requi:res knowledge t;1c,t tl:l.e d.om.-unsnts/~e I Md tb.8,t there is a, fz-o.:~dulent intent to def'rrn.l.d., Ls the a.lleced forgery ould not be :pro7ed 110 question of uttering a fru.se dooument oo:D.a. n.rise. I SimilarJ.y the offe;~100 of obtai..U'l'lg by frJ.s.e p:~etences oo."1?lot st.:!ld uthout f:LTst establio:~1..ing that the cheque was forged and the pe:rsoh who parted With t:..o f'OodJ:~! did so on the st~1ct~ of the said oJ.:e~ua. Furthermo:ro tLe 20000 1)0,.36 were received b3"" the first aceu,"led if'ho was aqoi.tted r;~1<1 it is only beca.se the fj.:~st accused ru:~macl t;~e appellant as the po:~1;:io;_1 w;~o k.d. sent him thct fue appelle.nt m:~a held res- ponsible o.1'ld oonvioted of obtnining ioodi:l "by f.:~lse pretences. "1).e leezned. trial. I118,zj_st;~:~:,te ought to hc,ye looked for othez- eVide.."loe to buttress the clc~L1 b~:- the first nccv.sed before convicting tle a._l)pallant,. .li.s none 1'1'2J3 f'o:c:thooming the le:~."!11cd tr5.a1 1Tic~1.gist;~:~:te w~."!S 1r.rong to D.2,VG acted on t;~e b0,i.""3 assertion of the f i.:~st r,ccused. I It 1rould appecz ~L:~:~t t~1e leei.1.---ned trlnl mL;r,i.sti:~ite 1x:~sed b.io I Mnviotion of t:~e q_Jl)ello.."!t on suspicion a.r:~si."1.g from the fc.ot t~t,t I t ~e awelle.nt O'lf'tls TIlli.Ii.tI)JXPORT.JID.S who al'l'e holde:a:s of aocoui'tl tTo. 5112 tn..""i?oV.g!..l. w:tl(jl the cheque from lli1ilY illiD.~0;~Tj.l:l:3 was clex:ed. f T'JJ.i.s is how he deoJ. t 11it'0. the mo,ttexi- "It is fu:17tf.:~e;~sto,ted t:mt tbi ohe(r.:~e whose {;~enuine cheque wcJ3 ism:~ed by Til,IaO to II. Isr,H.,il could 11ot be tr2.ced f~o11 t:ie B32'l'k ts strong room. It is not l)y ooncidenoa tne.t this ol,eg_ue landed into the seco~1d. accl".sed 1 s l~c.1.1l; h/C for olaranoe .. It ~r;~:~s so oz,e,'£'mzed 1Jet1-reen a, Jk.n;k: Offictcl/0.tf:toi.:J.s and the second cccused, The second V.CC-t!~Sea. im.plieaiy or exp:~essly fo:~rC;'ed this cheque ana. pre3011ted it to the PolJ""BlUlk:s oompe..n;r. It io on the st;~0111'i,i'tb. of t:~is c;1eq1.1.e tb.wt J:i.e B.'fili.;~ad to steaJ. 20000 bn,,}3 fi'om them tbrouQJ. the f :b.:~st acci.ts ed w;~10 did not know w1):o,t lrr-,s taki..1.g place. 11 Nfflf this is a olec..i' misdi-c-ectio no Since th0 ;~:~9:9ellcmt we,s not c.n. e~loyee of &...DB it ;~re.a for :PWII the Bank Controller t 0 eJq-,1 ain rum the .said o'!J.eq_t~lc;~1Cled into t;~10 2.ppelJ.2,ni; 1s Mcom1t :fo~ clem:~ioe. ,~ • 0 A tQ 3 T'A.e oL1.l'lll tJu,t this lTru:3 o~Q:llli?..ed between offioic:J.s of @Jill c11.c1. tho . etpJ?el.lant hD.l3 no ~.;~:~Jis at 2.11 r...s even P'ffll did not touch on this as~eot ~. W sa._y~ tho,t t;~10 n;~:~pollDnt either "impliodlyn o~ ttexpressJ.i' £oi:eed ~., the <i:i.eqv.e ancl presented it to the Pol.,ysaoks Coril,~x~J'ly• .da the npJ?ellm1t ~,~.is not the one V.J.O J?:~i.'CSoi1ted the cheque to Polysaolcs this str~to~1t ic totally misler~. -For the above racso:1a I find it unsafe to t?plldd the_ conviation of the a.ppelJ.ant in th:i.s o.:~ne [i'ld, I hereby quash it. aei."ltenoes of :f01.u- yec.:l'B ~isonment for t:~e second, oour-t c-lll dieht :re~sr.: irrrrp:dsonm.ent fo~ tho 3.,---d. oount o.:~e set SIJido o.nd. I o:t'(ler tb.:~1t t:~e o.:~ppellant, :0:~1.TI:IDI:Jr J;ii-U,D:i"u"PE be rele.:~sec.l fo:~t:~iwith unless he is otl:~.~i"ise lCJr.fttl.ly llGld.

- ~ It;;__JUDGE o L. MKODI!! , 22.1.93 Co.---:em - I!trude, J .. ?fr. Mwengella & Mins Lt-ro.sye
_fo7' :3.epulllic ~. l~•n.:ik~,sungv.lc, fo-x l'-11?ellant. · ~ ~r.. l>IIGllJil 1 JUDGE ..

Source & reproduction note

Judgment wording, source notices and original paragraph numbers are retained. Esheria supplies the layout and this PDF's page numbers; these are not the source pagination. This is a research copy, not an official or certified court document. Check quotations, citations and publication restrictions against the original source before relying on it.

ORIGINAL SOURCE [https://media.tanzlii.org/media/judgment/340730/
source_file/1a00b013a90a6af4/19930122_TZHC_Dar_es_Salaam.pdf](https://media.tanzlii.org/media/judgment/340730/source_file/1a00b013a90a6af4/19930122_TZHC_Dar_es_Salaam.pdf)

CASE PAGE <https://chat.esheria.ai/case-law/tanzania/kamidia-jumapili-v-republic-forgery-tzhc-1993>

Prepared on 14 October 2026 · Esheria