

TANZANIA / JUDGMENT

michael lukindo sizya vs republic

2023 tzhc 22822 21 november 2023

COURT
TZHCDECIDED
21 November 2023

Source: media.tanzlii.org · Research copy typeset by Esheria. Not court-issued or certified.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF THE UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA (IRINGA SUB REGISTRY) AT
IRINGA CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 70 OF 2023 (Original Criminal Case No. 34/2022 of the District
Court of Wanging'ombe before Hon. J.E. Muhoni, SRM) MICHAEL LUKINDO @
SIZYA APPELLANT VERSUS REPUBLIC
RESPONDENT

JUDGMENT

2Cfh& 21stNovember, 2023 I.C. MUGETA, 3 : The appellant who was convicted of attempted rape on his plea of guilty has appealed that the plea was equivocal and he is of unsound mind. The two complaints constitute the major themes of his four grounds of appeal. The record does not show that he raised nor the court noticed elements of insanity from his conduct. Before me at appeal, he demonstrated such features though. Sophia Manjoti, learned State Attorney suggested that his insanity cannot be ruled out unless his mental state is examined by order of this court or the trial court. Due to what I shall decide in relation to the complaint on the nature of the plea, I refrain Page 1 of 3 from making orders for examination of the appellant's mental state. I just hold that there is no evidence upon which the issue can be decided. The learned State Attorney supported the appeal on account of the fact that the plea of guilty was equivocal. The reason being that the charge sheet was defective in the particulars of the offence for not disclosing that the appellant attempted to "procure prohibited sexual intercourse". She cited Isidori Patrice v. The Republic, Criminal Appeal No. 224/2007, Court of Appeal - Arusha (unreported) to bolster her argument. In her view, a defective charge cannot support any plea being of guilty or not guilty. I agree with the learned State Attorney. A charge of attempted rape which omits the phrase "with intent to procure prohibited sexual intercourse" in the particulars of the offence is defective. As rightly submitted by the learned State Attorney, this is the holding in the case of Isdory Patrice (supra). In this case the particulars of the offence simply read: "That, Michael s/o Lukindo @ Sizya on 2 ? d day of December, 2022 at 19:00 hours at Igwachanya village within the district of Wanging'ombe, Njombe Page 2 of 3 Region, attempted to have carnal knowledge with one ... (name withheld) aged 05 years old knowing it is against the law". I hold that those particulars of the offence were deficient and as argued by the learned State Attorney they make any subsequent plea untenable. The appeal is allowed. The conviction is quashed and the sentence is set aside. I remit the file

back to the trial court for reading a proper charge to the accused person and to deal with the appellant's claim of insanity according to the law. 21/ 11/2023 Court: Judgment delivered in chambers in the presence of the appellant in person, Sophia Manjoti, learned State Attorney and Reuben Lubango, Prosecutor for the Republic. Sgd. I.C. MUGETA JUDGE 21/ 11/2023 Page 3 of 3

Source & reproduction note

Judgment wording, source notices and original paragraph numbers are retained. Esheria supplies the layout and this PDF's page numbers; these are not the source pagination. This is a research copy, not an official or certified court document. Check quotations, citations and publication restrictions against the original source before relying on it.

ORIGINAL SOURCE https://media.tanzlii.org/media/judgment/305594/source_file/michael-lukindo-sizya-vs-republic-2023-tzhc-22822-21-november-2023.pdf

CASE PAGE <https://chat.esheria.ai/case-law/tanzania/michael-lukindo-sizya-v-republic-attemptedrape-tzhc-2023>

Prepared on 13 October 2026 · Esheria