

DECIDED
16 November 1998

Source: media.tanzlii.org · Research copy typeset by Esheria. Not court-issued or certified.

(h) Interest on (a) at .40%/pel ilnnUIn fr'OII\ M;rTh 'lqcj7 h) the .dat.e<:)f jU,dgement. '\ Interest on'thede(~retitJ slim at COLlt't rn t.A fnHn cl;:ltp. of 'judgement.untiJ. pilyment. in full'. '{'ha defpdant.s havingqfailed to file their vll'ittpn r,,1ill.f'lIHHLt of de f encf~ (thouah'serJ~d)'!~he olaint iff s Wp r'e i'll1lowed to prOVf-' t.heir ('lil~ms e;p;tte/by~£fi(1~vit IIPlice flIp ~)respnt jlclglellPr1 ~~,':i: ':'j' ~,~-J-":l i"/ Tn Hllpport 6f theirO'elairnB plaint iff S\\lllllll.tf~(l two i'l f fi di'lv it g deponed b~ t~t:ila.nfl ?nd p 1;'i n t. if f f->. Tn I lit'lit· pI rd n I ~-,<;~::~,\" ,;,\;\,:\" j'hp plaintiff clc:limedth~Con ,,-;-:-,\" or/al)()lt ?Olh FHhnliHy 1997 i-ll pages 1 And 2 of \"the' F.~~resstl i:ssup No ..tor, TSSN ORSh- :iQR'1\", and sever·ally. ;'-_-;; fill ::,(\\ If ilnd IHA 1 i ('i Oils ly pn'parell for publication, edited, printed ;;n;l ('ire'lllnled nn \"rticle pntitled: \"Ovnr f~,000 lured 'i~f,' ! :~fH~konl\", 'sh ill i nqq wi SA: POIH<] as,~rJonllon f nol~h' and whose;:'icotent~, among () I hers rlln ;'if) Ilnder, \"H\\lH~rE:ld;of young Tat!:zat1;~ns;an~ n .•porl p<ny fnll ing for ll1ra elf hetter future ptont:fitiJ'd by eomputHl MHI hw'{ines};' l;tllrlieR in Britain. But once '~e, they gel t hf'! lpels! 11H\"Y b,lrgained for\".. Thp conspiracy Rllege revo]vas ilt/lund ri TiHl7.dni;-lll (,)lmle, identified as;', and Mn.,. H1lio'i'llH: '\\11(1 rll11 ..., ~,illdent placement pro~itamme in DAR p~; 8nlennll on IJehalf of \" berkshir'e based Comp'er training institute, lhe flt.lIn~ Rlu...;i.ness College\". The relp/ant, article is Ann, toithe plajltt. The p1;1inl iff (~lp1\\1lt lhrlt. \"tlH~ tendt', content, ma rbf hea(llng ,lncl pol'ition in the .~, , ·\"Xi:'; newspaper' i'lS ~~l as its acc anying C:nrt(n)(nl(~ 11Apict ion clpiHly .>'''\$\" i tld icatR lOali.d~\" aimed' at por in i nnlllendo iHlll by ::~f,t~{ \" j\\xtnposition)~fthe plaintiff s sd')ertlprR, (Tillinnls ,;,\"\"! c:onSp j r Al ors /t~'V i 1 ,doers I y, pprjlred .. swi 11(11Ars who ;HP;<~,~~rc llInf ito hold~1tny responsib ffica as well rlS irll~rlpi'hle of ,;<:.\".\" carry| n~l out ?! thfdr \"duties \"an 'phjects as colIf\"inp.ll in UIP-

ir .. lllPjnor'and, of ASROCIatton 1.it;l,d f thA hnnf,:t mellhArR 'of their I hAy d; ::;,H~SOC
i ate d illlliH] f> S t 0 m;Jkp. good t.he of thpir ni'lllleR which stnnd LHn 1 Shf~d. \ Havin
plninl am] i 1.s iHHlAXLllreR: t.hereof the court ls of is defAllAtory and false. al r Aady
qllLoLe<l above: and paint the pli'lintiffs, at the least I a::; nts to part with their money for
sHrvices wh istpnt or LH'!low the Rli'lndi'lrds requj r~cl find expect.ed. And t.hi f> can furl
het hp c1~fWPtnpd f 1-0111 other f)'X'(prpts of t.hat article which run "R IIlHlfH, "The
Na~gales and.the.~ ..goll~?e i~re ch::Il':J i Ilg the i r B tudentR 1()OO ~terling (.+.6':W:i.lli~h)
in tuition fees per year, hut the G60d samar(t~n";iwear£~that the highest a cornputer ~::~'-,
~-',' -;,'. :---;~r:::::'&.:.'~~,~:"~);-' t li'lining centre?~can:charge anywherei n the 11K is 700
sterling (700,000/=) a year. At thi'tlt, what theifhest comput.er school ('hARGE for a year's
course of inst.ruction is exactly what the N,lllgAlp.s chlrlge a sin<,lle proRpective st.udent
hConsliltation fee" fl 1 0 n e::f ... NAngale jHl(l~lfe ~hrOU~h links trAining
centre JI(J11sed in a single studi'rJ':lat :r~t~';:~t_ ,~t~::; the slbo- - '! ~tfalong ~,\t,i~i Rihi
Tit i Jv1ohAnH~cl ROAd hoast of heingahle to~proV traj ning oppot turd t. i eR i'lrHl jobs in ,-
<:' ~:,y';;;'," ;,; • -!.;,jo.~ ~h Cleilrly, from,theabov., l~int iffs lAst eem find reRl'ed", i'llllong _~
-)j' (!/:i:,,');)<:_ '!'"/ :.fj,-, the ordl tli1rYJ'members 0.£' OU.r c~ety must hi1v(~ been
lowered wi th a consequence 6f:'being ~Wun~~' aw~y for be ing In inted with unreliable,
dubious ~~d Jh tlstworthy ,,:..: ./:J~}'.7 char:lcteristics let alone being looked upon as
c\$nmenn~Fl()wing from thnt, thei rhus; neRS t~~>- _ -:~::~!:"~', c' would ni'tllrally have
b'een affected for no pntentii'l RtllClent. W0111d , ,:-:r~;~Jj;","~;~Z,~ ngnin rif;k dealing wi
clllir of the ;Hlvert.iRec1 type. 'fhi'll Rettle(l, .ne what should he rewnroed i'lsrecom~e::~
face and hll~ineRH. 'fhe p 1 a 1 nt iff s claim.\$,OOi 1. from TntereF\t. 'fhe gentlemen ::'_-_-~
";:(;';~;' !';!':~::~~_~t- f1SReFlROn~ hayee' 18 n<;l vi flWS in Un'lils of qlli~nl.lllll. 1,-;,';/,,'

25111. 'T'tlf~Y hrwe A.ll exprflssell j ffr I t;;n ni F\hecl nAllle deserve T have carflfully considered;l;fthpjr view~; ;HIId weighed the Silffie with t.he ;;tatus of the Plaintiff~! the pot.PIII ii'll iTI bllRinesR and t hp exten f of. the are~ ~overed ~!lahe sa j rl elf' f rlm,dory pUb 1 i r.n t j on ,:'~' ;>'" ';;,{:';', ,3g:~tf'-'., iHHL T ht'lv!~ come t.o settle on a tJi:gure Romehow "/~' '~;"";,:-:t below the propOR it ions opined by thegent: i~men asseSSfl n~,
• ' ;io~::~;";:" ';;:< No dnubt},,,lhe is p i ff~;is a person of sounrl stat.IIR iJR portlayed in his affid~v a holder of a first degrPH in l\grir.cultur'al Engineering, master of scipncp de<;.lree in renewahle Energy and Master of Business administrJtionr, a Technical MrHlnger in F.qllity Tnvest.ment Management. Ltd .. Memher ()f Rn;:nd of Directors of 'l'TB, , "lnrl had held various key positions .. in 1Il1lnja Wrl VijnllA (CCM), Ki lombero Sugar coy, Director of t.hA~ld plaintiff) hilt his hrls n"t established how he reached ,it Rli,~. ?no:ooo ..OOO/= of his worthqnRs.').part from the Fla!d 1F1t Plai"l iff: th~ ?nd and 1fd plaintiff~.;, have not been sufficiently pr'ovp!J 10 havp. rt rpknowll fOCdl rltt t',Jetion both locally and internalin1!;'lll,! if we put' asida t he link in business which WI'1SSUbjf'Ct. of t hp al t rwk hy I hI" t("levAnt 1 ihellolls article. Neither was evidHrlCe slJfficifHltly pstfblish,~<1 whi.ch show thflt the~3rd plrlinliff hi-l~; '(J~;I hllHinpss pnttrmtifl to fl tune of shs. 15Q,000:000/= ('lrliH1r~tl ;'lS PI'H af f jdavi t find so is the CAsE ?nd Defend,nlf'. A1] in a 11 , ! am sat i ~f at the daflli~ge call Red by I,he Jibellbus flrticle to all the p 1a j n ti f f f; r:fl n }f! At. () n An b y fl 1 i her-I'll

Slllll to. a tune :0£ on sh ill i ngR wit i ch .i s hereby aWflrded. AR observed by asseSRur:.;
tliA plrlint.iffs are flIRO Antitl,dto commenr:i n9 from th8 d1ite when t.he defamat.ory art.ic
lished blll la! hAf' fn)1Tl the (1 fl t. e not 40% AS ('l.lill~d (whose basis WflR not established)
ld be at Ihp nlling Rank rAtA. Judgement is enter'ed in favour of t.he plaintiffs in t.llP. terms
AS indi~ated i'lhovewith costs. t.. R. KalegeY(3) ,JUDGE- 1fi\11 \q8 neJivere~ in the presence
0 the plaintiffs (1st i3nd ?n~) And Mr. Ki'llolo, today the 16th November, 1.998. AT DAR E8
8ALAAM.:~c/ B. KaJegeya) ',2.tiLliQ.Y. f) mpo r'f,i' 1995 xtVT2BJ';'

Source & reproduction note

Judgment wording, source notices and original paragraph numbers are retained. Esheria supplies the layout and this PDF's page numbers; these are not the source pagination. This is a research copy, not an official or certified court document. Check quotations, citations and publication restrictions against the original source before relying on it.

ORIGINAL SOURCE	https://media.tanzlii.org/media/judgment/186261/source_file/nangale-others-vs-kasulwa-others-1998-tzhc-16-16-november-1998.pdf
CASE PAGE	https://chat.esheria.ai/case-law/tanzania/mr-george-nangale-v-sam-kasulwa-defamation-tzhc-1998

Prepared on 24 October 2026 · Esheria