

TANZANIA / JUDGMENT

19710825 TZHC Arusha

COURT
TZHCDECIDED
25 August 1971

Source: media.tanzlii.org · Research copy typeset by Esheria. Not court-issued or certified.

t C-t7 TLL -3 .. . TN THE RICIJ C tltT TMZMIA AT A1 UI4 PLL&i 1t1.'DItTI3N CiMIAt A' CtIL N. 176 07 1971 Ot41G1NA iUIZUi Ci). 741 OP 1970 OP THE DIPBXCT C)L.it ? K 'ISTRIC AT KJ1OGI Before J.S. Mwai, Bag, District Metstrate SMILE LOIWAG.A ,,,,,,, A1L.ANT/ACct3CDNO.3 versus THE.1i.1'tTIdC (fl?RGB: Cattle Theft contrary to section 268 of the Penal Code. Cap. 16 Vol 1 1 or the Laws. J U _r:0 9 E R P PAT1L, Ag. J. --- This is an appeal against conviction for the offence of Cattle Theft. The appellant was among the three accused persons charged before the trial court but the first and second accused were acquitted by the trial magistrate and this accused was convicted for this offence and to be imprisoned for 3 years. The Wemornlum of J&peal by the appellant states that he met a person on the way and that person was hiding a cow and when a bus stopped the man holding the cow with P. rope ran away while he stood there and people came and arrested him. In his Memorandum of Appeal he said that if he was a thief he had enough time to run away when the bus stopped. The learned State Attorney submitted that the only evidence against the accused was of 7W 1 at the time. 1. This witness said that he saw the third accused, the appellant and another man who was holding and leading a cow with a rope and when the bus stopped the other man who was holding the cow with a rope ran away and appellant wanted to run away but was arrested. The appellant in his defence said that he was going to his home when he met somebody on the way. He had a cow and while they were walking together the bus came and stopped near them and the person holding the cow ran away while he just stood and people came out from the bus and arrested him. The learned State Attorney submitted and said that the only evidence to convict the appellant in this offence is the evidence of 7W 1 which was accepted by learned trial magistrate. The explanation of the appellant was not accepted by the learned trial magistrate who found it insufficient and therefore rejected it. It would appear that the appellant's explanation was rejected by the trial magistrate, however, when rejecting the defence of the appellant he said in his judgment that the appellant has not given any explanation as to how he possessed the cow. This is a material contradiction and the evidence appears to be insufficient and inconclusive to prove the case beyond reasonable doubt. It is not for the accused, appellant to prove his innocence but it is for the prosecution to prove the case beyond reasonable doubt. The evidence as it is on record is so far short to prove the offence beyond reasonable doubt that the appellant who has given explanation which appears to be very reasonable and as he stated in his Memorandum of Appeal it supports his submission that he had all the chance to run away when the bus stopped but he did not. /2. . . 2 - The Officer is a very good one to receive a punishment is also very good. I think it is:

unsaflo to 8110w this couic'ior to view of tht I •1iw the p ea2 and I crdor that the accupmd be set
free forthwitt un,leas othowi*e lawfWJy Arusha - 25/&'71 PAT!J) A. J U V C jtt

Source & reproduction note

Judgment wording, source notices and original paragraph numbers are retained. Esheria supplies the layout and this PDF's page numbers; these are not the source pagination. This is a research copy, not an official or certified court document. Check quotations, citations and publication restrictions against the original source before relying on it.

ORIGINAL SOURCE [https://media.tanzlii.org/media/judgment/347521/
source_file/70ce0d58c38adc4b/19710825_TZHC_Arusha.pdf](https://media.tanzlii.org/media/judgment/347521/source_file/70ce0d58c38adc4b/19710825_TZHC_Arusha.pdf)

CASE PAGE <https://chat.esheria.ai/case-law/tanzania/the-republic-cattletheft-tzhc-1971>

Prepared on 26 October 2026 · Esheria