

TANZANIA / JUDGMENT

20140211 TZCA Bukoba

COURT
TZCADECIDED
11 February 2014

Source: media.tanzlii.org · Research copy typeset by Esheria. Not court-issued or certified.

J IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF TANZANIA AT BUKOBA CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 5 OF 2013
TRYIPHONE KABITINA APPLICANT VERSUS THE
REPUBLIC RESPONDENT (Application for
extension of time to lodge Review in the Court of Appeal (T) at Mwanza) (RUTAKANGWA,
KIMARO, AND ORIYO, JJJ.) dated the 12th day of October, 201-1 in Criminal Appeal No. 180 of
2009

RULING

11th & 1th February 2015. LUANDA, I.A.: This is an application for extension of time to enable the applicant file an application for review. The application has been made under Rule 10 of the Court of Appeal Rules, 2009 (the Rules). Before hearing the application, Mr. Paul Kadushi learned State Attorney who represented the respondent/Republic rose up and informed 1 the Court that the affidavit accompanying the notice of motion is not attested at all. So, there is no affidavit to look into it for the Court to consider the application on merits. This, he went on, contravened Rule 48(1) of the Rules which requires a notice of motion to be supported by an affidavit. Since the notice of motion is not supported by an affidavit, the application is incompetent. The same should be struck out, he charged. The applicant had nothing useful to contribute apart from asking the Court to consider his application. It is true as observed by Mr. Kadushi that the notice of motion is supported by a defective affidavit in that it is not attested at all. An affidavit which is not attested by Commissioner for oath is as good as no affidavit to have been attached along with a notice of motion. In terms of Rule 48(1) of the Rules, for the application to be properly filed the notice of motion must be file along with an affidavit. Since the affidavit is incurably defective the application is incompetent. 2 \" The same is struck out. B.M. LUANDA JUSTICE OF APPEAL I
certify that this is a true copy of the original . .M ZU EGISTRAR OF APPEAL 3

Source & reproduction note

Judgment wording, source notices and original paragraph numbers are retained. Esheria supplies the layout and this PDF's page numbers; these are not the source pagination. This is a research copy, not an official or certified court document. Check quotations, citations and publication restrictions against the original source before relying on it.

ORIGINAL SOURCE https://media.tanzlii.org/media/judgment/333871/source_file/b770955f21455217/20140211_TZCA_Bukoba.pdf

CASE PAGE <https://chat.esheria.ai/case-law/tanzania/tryiphone-kabitina-v-the-republic-extensionoftime-tzca-2014>

Prepared on 14 October 2026 · Esheria