

TANZANIA / JUDGMENT

vitus vicent mwanisawa another vs nehemia luwela 2023 tzhc 17582 1 june 2023

COURT
TZHCDECIDED
1 June 2023

Source: media.tanzlii.org · Research copy typeset by Esheria. Not court-issued or certified.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF THE UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA SUMBAWANGA DISTRICT
REGISTRY AT SUMBAWANGA MISCELLANEOUS LAND APPLICATION NO. 11 OF 2022 (Arising
from Miscellaneous Land Application No. 04 of 2022 in the High Court of Tanzania at
Sumbawanga, Original Miscellaneous Land Application No. 34 of 2016 in the District Land and
Housing Tribunal for Rukwa) VITUS VICENT MWANISAWA LANT CHARLES VICENT
MWANISAWA LANT NEHEMIA LUWELA ■* RESPONDENT MWENEMPAZI J Ws I The applicants
have s application seeking orders of the Court restoring Miscellaneous Land Application No. 4 of
2022 which was dismissed for want of appearance and also for any other relief this Court may
deem it fit to grant. The application is taken at the instance of James Lubusi, Advocate for the
applicant and it is supported by the joint affidavit sworn by the applicants. i In the affidavit the
deponents have stated that they filed application No. 4 of 2022 in the High Court of Tanzania at
Sumbawanga Registry seeking an order to extend time to apply for an order setting aside ex parte
judgment. However, it was dismissed for want of appearance on 12/05/2022 by Hon. Nkwabi,
Judge. They sent a representative to convey the message that their bother is sick. The applicants
allege that if the id application No. 4 of 2022 is not restored they will suffer loss. The annexed
medical sheet shows that one Charle /icent Mwanisawa is sick. At the hearing Mr. James Lubusi,
Learned Advocate appeared for the w v w w applicants and the Respondent was unrepresented. <
i X Mr. James Lubusi, Learned Advocate, first sought leave and prayed that the affidavit be
adopted to form part of the submission. He reiterated the reason for non - appearance is the
sickness of the applicant. In order to emphasize the point and convince this Court to grant the
application, the counsel referred to the case of Ally Said Mzumba Vs. Mwajuma Stamili, Misc.
Civil Application No. 65 of 2021, High Court of Tanzania ta Dar es Salaam where Hon. Mango,
Judge allowed an application for extension of time on the reason that delay was due to sickness,
she observed that: 2 "Sickness is a good ground for extension of time as it has been held in a
number of cases" The counsel for applicant argued that there are a number of cases where
sickness has been held to be a good reason to extend time or restore a case dismissed for want of
appearance. He also argued that a right to be heard is a basic right and that is the desire of the
appl He prayed that this applicants a right to be heard. In reply to the submission, the respondent

at the application by the applicants has no merit He prays that the application should be dismissed He has a ued th if it was sickness, it is not true that both the 1st and 2nd applicant were sick instead, one of them would have entered appearance and leave the sick person attending treatment. Worse enough, they sent a person to report but without a medical certificate or letter from the chairman of the village. The Court was right to dismiss the application. 3 According to the medical certificate shown it is Charles Mwanisawa who was sick. It is a lie that the applicant was sick. The medical certificate has no stamp of the hospital. It is just a form, it lacks authenticity. The applicants' signatures on the application are forged. They used to sign by pressing the Right Thumb Print. But this time around they signed. The respondent has submitted that the applicant feared to be apprehended. He prayed the application be dismissed. In rejoinder Mr. James Lubusi, Learne as submitted that it is true one applicant was sick but since they are relatives, the other one had to take care of the sick one. The applicant sent a relative to give notice to the Court. I have read the and also heard the applicant's counsel and the respondent. 1 ne question is whether there is a good reason to grant the application. The applicants are alleging sickness is the reason. I understand that sickness is a good reason for one to fail entering appearance as scheduled. Normally, a sick person is weak and depending on the intensity may be incapacitated to take care of himself and need assistance of other people or neighbor. The question therefore to resolve 4 this matter is what was the basis of dismissal of Miscellaneous Application No. 34 of 2022. For sometime we have been trying to locate the case file for Miscellaneous Land Application No. 4 of 2022. It has been found and brought to me. I have perused the case file for miscellaneous Land Application No. 4 of 2022 the applicants missed entering appearance on that single day on the 12th May, 2022. On the date they sent a relative, their young brother known by the name of OBEDI ho delivered the message to the Court that the 1st applicant is sick and the other applicant is attending to the sick person, Since the message and or notice was on the sickness of one of the applicants, I believe the reason stated in the affidavit is genuine. Also, I take note that this is not a substantive determination of the parties' right to the subject matter of the dispute thus parties will be heard on the point at the relevant stage; in case the Miscellaneous Land Application No. 4 of 2022 will be W Ki restored. However, the applicants thirst is to be heard and I have to decide whether they will have that chance or not. I find that in the interest of justice the application is a necessary step to the applicants so that they will be able to enjoy to the natural right they deserve. 5 Thus, the application is granted. Since sickness is a natural event, I order that each party will bear his costs. It is ordered accordingly. Dated and delivered at Sumbawanga this 01st day of June, 2023. II // t.m. mwenempazi s; <?. Further orders: It is further ordered

- 1 * that the s aid Miscellaneous Land • Application No. 4 of 2022 be mentioned on the IJ3/07/2023. Since the Respondent is absent, he be notified accordingly. % W w» II mwenempazi I MV r lwte/Wffrl ^_^3UPeE MRg®jL^01/06/2023 6

Source & reproduction note

Judgment wording, source notices and original paragraph numbers are retained. Esheria supplies the layout and this PDF's page numbers; these are not the source pagination. This is a research copy, not an official or certified court document. Check quotations, citations and publication restrictions against the original source before relying on it.

ORIGINAL SOURCE	https://media.tanzlii.org/media/judgment/176263/source_file/vitus-vicent-mwanisawa-another-vs-nehemia-luwela-2023-tzhc-17582-1-june-2023.pdf
CASE PAGE	https://chat.esheria.ai/case-law/tanzania/vitus-vicent-mwanisawa-v-nehemia-luwela-restorationofdismissedcase-tzhc-2023

Prepared on 14 October 2026 · Esheria