

TANZANIA / JUDGMENT

yuda nswima vs kabhebe kafulama

2021 tzhc 7432 24 november 2021

COURT
TZHCDECIDED
24 November 2021

Source: media.tanzlii.org · Research copy typeset by Esheria. Not court-issued or certified.

IN THE UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA JUDICIARY IN THE HIGH COURT OF TANZANIA
SUMBAWANGA DISTRICT REGISTRY AT SUMBAWANGA MISC. CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 14 OF
2021 (Originating from Civil Reference No. 1/2020 from Sumbawanga High Court) YUDA S/O
NSWIMA APPLICANT VERSUS KABHEBE KAFULAMA
RESPONDENT

RULING

Date of fast order: 29/9/2021 Date of Ruling: 24/11/2021 NDUNGURU, J. The applicant one Yuda s/o Nswima is applying before this court for the order that this court be pleased to restore Civil Reference No. 01 of 2021 which was dismissed for want of prosecution by this court on 11/05/2021. The applicant further prays for costs of this application be borne by the respondent and any other relief this court may deem fit just to grant. i The application is prompted under Order IX Rule 3 of the Civil Procedure Code Cap 33 RE 2019 and is duly supported by affidavit sworn by the applicant. The reason for application is contained under paragraph 2, 3, and 4 of the applicant's affidavit. At paragraph 2 the applicant states that he was aware Application No. 1/2021 was set for hearing on 11/05/2021 that he attended the High Court which was sitting at TANROAD building following renovation which was going on at the court building, At paragraph 3 of the applicant states that he did not know the fact that the court had shifted to its building thus he remained at TANROAD building waiting to be called by the clerk for a long time, till when he was informed that the court had shifted to its building. That he started matching to the location and found the case already dismissed for want of prosecution. I have considered the reasons of non-appearance by the applicant. It is the fact that when the court building was under renovation, the court's activities were taking place at the TANROAD building, and it took a long time to shift back to the court's building. 2 That being the case the likelihood of the people going to TANROAD building is unavoidable, though when shifting to the court's building the announcement was posted at different posters. In the circumstance I am of the view that the non-appearance by the appellant was inadvertent. I allow the application. The Civil Reference No. 01/2021 is hereby restored. I make no order as to costs. Each part has to bear his own costs of his application. D. B. NDUNGURU JUDGE 24/11/2021 3

Source & reproduction note

Judgment wording, source notices and original paragraph numbers are retained. Esheria supplies the layout and this PDF's page numbers; these are not the source pagination. This is a research copy, not an official or certified court document. Check quotations, citations and publication restrictions against the original source before relying on it.

ORIGINAL SOURCE	https://media.tanzlii.org/media/judgment/236964/source_file/yuda-nswima-vs-kabhebehe-kafulama-2021-tzhc-7432-24-november-2021.pdf
CASE PAGE	https://chat.esheria.ai/case-law/tanzania/yuda-so-nswima-v-kabhebehe-kafulama-restorationofdismissedcase-tzhc-2021

Prepared on 14 October 2026 · Esheria