

**Bigugu v Uganda (Miscellaneous
Application No.83 of 2015) [2015]
UGHCCRD 452 (9 November 2015)**
[2015] UGHCCRD 452

Source: ulii.org · Research copy typeset by Esheria. Not court-issued or certified.

1 / 4

2. The applicant has a Constitutional right to apply for bail pending trial.
3. The charges preferred against the applicant are bailable in this honourable Court.
4. The applicant is still innocent and has a plausible defence on the charge laid out.
5. The applicant is suffering from a terminal illness, HIV which needs adequate medical care which case can only be attained outside prison.
6. The applicant has substantial sureties within the jurisdiction of this Court ready to stand surety for him.
7. The applicant is ready and willing to abide by all terms and conditions as this Honourable Court may be pleased to set.
8. The applicant has a fixed place of abode at Mawanda Road, Nsooba LC. I within the jurisdiction of this Honourable Court.
9. The applicant is not likely to interfere with the investigations if granted bail.
10. **This application is opposed on the following grounds; that:-**
11. The applicant has failed to show that he has a fixed place of abode having not attached any documentary proof of him being a resident of Mawanda Road, Nsooba LC. I.
12. The applicant's ailment can be treated in Luzira Prison where he is detained pending his trial.
13. The applicant has not shown that he has substantial sureties having not attached their particulars.
14. The applicant has not shown that he has dependants having not attached any documentary proof.
15. **Resolution of this application by Court.**

In his submissions, Counsel for applicant, Mr. Fitz Patrick Furah, argued each ground of this application separately. He primarily based his arguments on Article 23 (6) (a) of the Constitution. He submitted in support of all the grounds of this application, and prayed that the applicant be granted bail pending his trial in the High Court.

In reply, Counsel for the respondent, Ms. Lillian Nandawula, State Attorney, does not agree with the submissions by Counsel for the applicant. She submitted that the application lacks merit and that the same application be denied by this Court.

From the onset, this application is not supported by the affidavit evidence of the applicant. One could wonder why the applicant/accused opted out to give evidence in form of affidavit evidence in support of his application. Such circumstances in my considered view do weaken the applicant's application.

On the applicant's ailment, the applicant did not swear an affidavit to prove that he is terminally ill. Attached to the affidavit in support of the application are clinical notes from Mulago infectious diseases institute which were acquired before the commission of the alleged offence. There is no medical report from Luzira Prisons Hospital, Luzira to prove that the applicant is on medication and that the said Hospital Management have failed to manage the applicant's sickness. Section 15 (3) (a) provides that:-

As such, therefore, the applicant failed to prove that his ailment/sickness cannot be treated within Murchison Bay Prison Hospital, Luzira.

1. Conclusion

Dated at Kampala this 9th day of November, 2015.

Judge.

VERSUS

9/11/2015

4 / 4