

UGANDA / JUDGMENT

Moses Jim Jjagwe v Standard Chartered Bank (U) Ltd (HCT-00-CC-CS 375 of 2004)

[2007] UGCommC 57 (31 May 2007)

[2007] UGCommC 57

COURT

Commercial Court of Uganda

CASE / FILE NUMBER

HCT-00-CC-CS 375 of 2004

Source: ulii.org · Research copy typeset by Esheria. Not court-issued or certified.

{\rtf1\ansi\ansicpg1252\uc1\deff0\stshfdbch0\stshfloch0\stshfhich0\stshfbi0\deflang2057\deflangfe2057{\fonttbl{\f0\froman\fcharset0\fprq2{*\panose 02020603050405020304}Times New Roman;}\f171\froman\fcharset238\fprq2 Times New Roman CE;}

{\f172\froman\fcharset204\fprq2 Times New Roman Cyr;}\f174\froman\fcharset161\fprq2 Times New Roman Greek;}\f175\froman\fcharset162\fprq2 Times New Roman Tur;}\f176\froman\fcharset177\fprq2 Times New Roman (Hebrew);}

{\f177\froman\fcharset178\fprq2 Times New Roman (Arabic);}\f178\froman\fcharset186\fprq2 Times New Roman Baltic;}\f179\froman\fcharset163\fprq2 Times New Roman (Vietnamese);}\colortbl;\red0\green0\blue0;\red0\green0\blue255;\red0\green255\blue255;

\red0\green255\blue0;\red255\green0\blue255;\red255\green0\blue0;\red255\green255\blue0;\red255\green255\blue255;\red0\green0\blue128;\red0\green128\blue128;\red0\green128\blue0;\red128\green0\blue128;\red128\green0\blue0;\red128\green128\blue0;

\red128\green128\blue128;\red192\green192\blue192;}{\stylesheet{\ql\li0\ri0\widctlpar\aspalpha\aspnum\faauto\adjustright\rin0\lin0\itap0\fs24\lang1033\langfe1033\cgrid\langnp1033\langfenp1033 \next0 Normal;}{*\cs10 \additive\ssemihidden

Default Paragraph Font;}{*\ts11\tsrowd\trftsWidthB3\trpaddl108\trpaddr108\trpaddfl3\trpaddft3\trpaddfb3\trpaddfr3\tscellwidthfts0\tsvertalt\tsbrdrt\tsbrdr\tsbrdrb\tsbrdr\tsbrdrdgl\tsbrdrdgr\tsbrdrh\tsbrdrv

\ql \li0\ri0\widctlpar\aspalpha\aspnum\faauto\adjustright\rin0\lin0\itap0\fs20\lang1024\langfe1024\cgrid\langnp1024\langfenp1024 \next11 \ssemihidden Normal Table;}{\s15\ql \li0\ri0\widctlpar

\tqc\tx4320\tqr\tx8640\aspalpha\aspnum\faauto\adjustright\rin0\lin0\itap0
\fs24\lang1033\langfe1033\cgrid\langnp1033\langfenp1033\sbasedon0\snext15\styrsid13660067
footer;}{*cs16\sbasedon10\styrsid13660067 page number;}}

{*\latentstyles\lsdstimax156\lsdlockeddef0}{*\listtable{\list\listtemplateid1400409818\listhybrid{\listlevel\levelnfc0\levelnfcn0\leveljc0\leveljcn0\levelfollow0\levelstartat1\levelspace0\levelindent0{\leveltext\leveltemplateid-543901216

\02\00.;}{\levelnumbers\01;}\fbias0\fi-900\li713\jclisttab\tx713\lin713}{\listlevel\levelnfc4\levelnfcn4\leveljc0\leveljcn0\levelfollow0\levelstartat1\levelspace0\levelindent0{\leveltext\leveltemplateid67698713\02\01.;}{\levelnumbers\01;}

\fi-360\li893\jclisttab\tx893\lin893}{\listlevel\levelnfc2\levelnfcn2\leveljc2\leveljcn2\levelfollow0\levelstartat1\levelspace0\levelindent0{\leveltext\leveltemplateid67698715\02\02.;}{\levelnumbers\01;}\fi-180\li1613\jclisttab\tx1613\lin1613}

{\listlevel\levelnfc0\levelnfcn0\leveljc0\leveljcn0\levelfollow0\levelstartat1\levelspace0\levelindent0{\leveltext\leveltemplateid67698703\02\03.;}{\levelnumbers\01;}\fi-360\li2333\jclisttab\tx2333\lin2333}{\listlevel\levelnfc4\levelnfcn4\leveljc0\leveljcn0\levelfollow0\levelstartat1\levelspace0\levelindent0{\leveltext\leveltemplateid67698713\02\04.;}{\levelnumbers\01;}\fi-360\li3053\jclisttab\tx3053\lin3053}{\listlevel\levelnfc2\levelnfcn2\leveljc2\leveljcn2\levelfollow0\levelstartat1\levelspace0\levelindent0{\leveltext\leveltemplateid67698715\02\05.;}{\levelnumbers\01;}\fi-180\li3773\jclisttab\tx3773\lin3773}{\listlevel\levelnfc0\levelnfcn0\leveljc0\leveljcn0\levelfollow0\levelstartat1\levelspace0\levelindent0{\leveltext\leveltemplateid67698703\02\06.;}{\levelnumbers\01;}\fi-360\li4493\jclisttab\tx4493\lin4493}{\listlevel\levelnfc4\levelnfcn4\leveljc0\leveljcn0\levelfollow0\levelstartat1\levelspace0\levelindent0{\leveltext\leveltemplateid67698713\02\07.;}{\levelnumbers\01;}\fi-360\li5213\jclisttab\tx5213\lin5213}{\listlevel\levelnfc2\levelnfcn2\leveljc2\leveljcn2\levelfollow0\levelstartat1\levelspace0\levelindent0{\leveltext\leveltemplateid67698715\02\08.;}{\levelnumbers\01;}\fi-180\li5933\jclisttab\tx5933\lin5933}{\listname ;}{\listid1431700160}}{*\listoverrideable{\listoverride\listid1431700160\listoverridecount0\ls1}}{*\rsidtbl\rsid159980\rsid328241\rsid546867\rsid921940\rsid937340\rsid995340\rsid1859602\rsid2580077\rsid2960762\rsid3100340\rsid3106922\rsid3289077\rsid3622901\rsid4658816\rsid4809595\rsid4987271\rsid5009556\rsid5248288\rsid6046788\rsid6754557\rsid7435959\rsid7738135\rsid7824853\rsid7959087\rsid8990400\rsid9313326\rsid9446870\rsid9460727\rsid9910891\rsid9917969\rsid10114459\rsid10830056\rsid12068304\rsid12087359\rsid12195712\rsid12395903\rsid12869320\rsid13003479\rsid13325640\rsid13465777\rsid13574134\rsid13660067\rsid13794680\rsid13962823\rsid14359115\rsid14364456\rsid14420573\rsid15215210\rsid15344084

\rsid15346716\rsid15563020\rsid16146769\rsid16580848}{*\generator Microsoft Word 11.0.5604;}
{\info{\title THE REPUBLIC OF UGANDA}{\author ikobusinge}{\operator jchemeri}
{\creatim\yr2009\mo1\dy23\hr14\min30}{\revtim\yr2009\mo1\dy23\hr14\min30}{\version2}

{\edmins2}{\nofpages7}{\nofwords2321}{\nofchars13231}{*\company Judicircature}
{\nofcharsws15521}{\vern24689}}\paperw11909\paperh16834\margl1496\margr1077

\widowctrl\ftnbj\aeenddoc\noxlattoyen\expshrt\noultrlspace\dntblnsbdb\nospaceforul\formshade\
horzdoc\dgmarg\in\dghspace187\dgvspace127\dghorigin1496\dgvorigin1440\dghshow0\dgvshow2

\jexpand\viewkind1\viewscale100\pgbrdrhead\pgbrdrfoot\splytw\ftnlytw\htmautsp\noln
htadjtbl\useltbaln\alntblind\lytcalctblwd\lyttbltrgr\lnbrkrule\nobrkwrttbl\snapto\gridincell\allow
fieldendsel\wrppunct

\asianbrkrule\rsidroot16146769\newtblstyru\snogrowautofit \fet0{*\ftnsep \pard\plain \ql
\li0\ri0\widctlpar\aspalpha\aspnum\faauto\adjustright\rin0\lin0\itap0
\fs24\lang1033\langfe1033\cgrid\langnp1033\langfenp1033 {\insrsid5009556 \chftnsep

\par }}{*\ftnsepc \pard\plain \ql
\li0\ri0\widctlpar\aspalpha\aspnum\faauto\adjustright\rin0\lin0\itap0
\fs24\lang1033\langfe1033\cgrid\langnp1033\langfenp1033 {\insrsid5009556 \chftnsepc

\par }}{*\aftnsep \pard\plain \ql
\li0\ri0\widctlpar\aspalpha\aspnum\faauto\adjustright\rin0\lin0\itap0
\fs24\lang1033\langfe1033\cgrid\langnp1033\langfenp1033 {\insrsid5009556 \chftnsep

\par }}{*\aftnsepc \pard\plain \ql
\li0\ri0\widctlpar\aspalpha\aspnum\faauto\adjustright\rin0\lin0\itap0
\fs24\lang1033\langfe1033\cgrid\langnp1033\langfenp1033 {\insrsid5009556 \chftnsepc

\par }}\sectd
\ps9\linex0\colsx708\endnhere\sectlinegrid254\sectdefaultcl\sectrsid14359115\sftnbj {\footer
\pard\plain \s15\ql \li0\ri0\widctlpar

\tqc\tx4320\tqr\tx8640\pvpara\phmrg\posxc\posy0\aspalpha\aspnum\faauto\adjustright\rin0\lin0\i
tap0\pararsid8990400 \fs24\lang1033\langfe1033\cgrid\langnp1033\langfenp1033 {\field{*\fldinst
{\cs16\insrsid921940 PAGE }}{\fldrslt {

\cs16\lang1024\langfe1024\noproof\insrsid3106922 1}}}{\cs16\insrsid921940

\par }\pard \s15\ql
\li0\ri0\widctlpar\tqc\tx4320\tqr\tx8640\aspalpha\aspnum\faauto\adjustright\rin0\lin0\itap0
{\insrsid921940

\par }{*pnseclv11\pnucrm\pnstart1\pnindent720\pnhang {\pntxta .}}
{*pnseclv12\pnucrltr\pnstart1\pnindent720\pnhang {\pntxta .}}
{*pnseclv13\pndec\pnstart1\pnindent720\pnhang {\pntxta .}}
{*pnseclv14\pnlcltr\pnstart1\pnindent720\pnhang {\pntxta }}

{*pnseclv15\pndec\pnstart1\pnindent720\pnhang {\pntxtb ({\pntxta })}}
{*pnseclv16\pnlcltr\pnstart1\pnindent720\pnhang {\pntxtb ({\pntxta })}}
{*pnseclv17\pnlcrm\pnstart1\pnindent720\pnhang {\pntxtb ({\pntxta })}}{*pnseclv18

\pnlcltr\pnstart1\pnindent720\pnhang {\pntxtb ({\pntxta })}}
{*pnseclv19\pnlcrm\pnstart1\pnindent720\pnhang {\pntxtb ({\pntxta })}}\pard\plain \ql \li-187\ri0
\sl360\slmult1\widctlpar\aspalpha\aspnum\faauto\adjustright\rin0\lin-187\itap0\pararsid15346716

\fs24\lang1033\langfe1033\cgrid\langnp1033\langfenp1033
{\b\ul\insrsid6046788\charrsid15346716

\par }\pard \qc \li-187\ri0\sl360\slmult1\widctlpar\aspalpha\aspnum\faauto\adjustright\rin0\lin-18
7\itap0\pararsid15346716 {\b\insrsid16146769\charrsid15346716 THE REPUBLIC OF UGANDA

\par

\par IN THE HIGH COURT OF UGANDA AT KAMPALA

\par (COMMERCIAL COURT DIVISION)

\par

\par HCT-00-CC-CS-0375-2004

\par }\pard \qj \li-187\ri0\sl360\slmult1\widctlpar\aspalpha\aspnum\faauto\adjustright\rin0\lin-187
\itap0\pararsid15346716 {\b\insrsid16146769\charrsid15346716

\par MOSES JIM JJAGWE ::::::::::::::::::::}{\b\insrsid9910891\charrsid15346716 :::}
{\b\insrsid16146769\charrsid15346716 PLAINTIFF

\par

\par }\pard \qc \li-187\ri0\sl360\slmult1\widctlpar\aspalpha\aspnum\faauto\adjustright\rin0\lin-18
7\itap0\pararsid15346716 {\b\insrsid16146769\charrsid15346716 VERSUS

\par }\pard \qj \li-187\ri0\sl360\slmult1\widctlpar\aspalpha\aspnum\faauto\adjustright\rin0\lin-187
\itap0\pararsid15346716 {\b\insrsid16146769\charrsid15346716

\par STANDARD CHARTERED BANK (U) LTD ::::::::::}{\b\insrsid9910891\charrsid15346716 :::}
{\b\insrsid16146769\charrsid15346716 :::: DEFENDANT

\par

\par BEFORE: }{\b\ul\insrsid16146769\charrsid15346716 THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE
YOROKAMU BAMWINE

\par }{\b\ul\insrsid9910891\charrsid15346716

\par J U D G M E N T}{\b\insrsid9910891\charrsid15346716 :

\par

\par }{\insrsid9910891\charrsid15346716 The plaintiff, Moses Jjagwe, is a Director in M/S JMK Investments Limited. The defendant is a limited liability company registered in Uganda carrying on the business of ba

nking. The plaintiff operated an account with the defendant bank.

\par

\par From the evidence and agreed facts, the plaintiff, through his said company, received loan advances from the defendant. He guaranteed their repayment, defaulted and the security was advertised for sale.

\par

\par The plaintiff was given further opportunity to redeem the property}{\insrsid7738135\charrsid15346716 but still failed to do so. The defendant, exercising its power under the mortgage went ahead and sold it. This was after the defendant had accepted }{

\insrsid3289077\charrsid15346716 a }{\insrsid7738135\charrsid15346716 Shs.180m bid offer }{\insrsid3289077\charrsid15346716 from}{\insrsid7738135\charrsid15346716 one Robert Tibagwa}{\insrsid14420573\charrsid15346716

, apparently fronted by the plaintiff, who also failed to beat the deadline set by the defendant. The property was eventually sold to one Ronald Membe for much less than Tibagwa's bid offer. Out of the sale proceeds, the plaintiff received Shs.5}

{\insrsid15346716\charrsid15346716 , 094,387}{\insrsid14420573\charrsid15346716 = by draft. The plaintiff then brought this suit}{\insrsid12395903\charrsid15346716

claiming that the defendant negligently exercised its power of sale of the mortgaged property and failed to obtain its true market value. Hence the prayer for special damages and/or alternatively for compensation for the loss of Shs.60m}{

\insrsid14359115\charrsid15346716 being the difference of the amount offered by the potential buyer and the sum claimed by the bank.}{\insrsid9910891\charrsid15346716

\par }{\insrsid14359115\charrsid15346716

\par There are two issues for determination:

\par {\listtext\pard\plain\lang1033\langfe1033\langnp1033\langfenp1033\insrsid14359115\charrsid15346716 \hich\af0\dbch\af0\loch\fo 1.\tab}}\pard \qj \fi-900\li713\ri0\sl360\slmult1\widctlpar

Whether the plaintiff suffered any loss as a result of the sale.

Whether the plaintiff is entitled to the remedies sought.

Representation:

Mr. Edward Mugogo for the plaintiff.

Mr. Patrick Yehangane for the defendant.

As to whether the plaintiff suffered any loss as a result of the sale

,

the plaintiff admits that all in all the loan advances to his company amounted to Shs.110m. He was supposed to pay it back in a period of two years but defaulted. As I understand Mr. Jjagwe, he is not challenging the sale perse. After all, the bank exercised its contractual right

under the mortgage. His complaint is that he was imprisoned for matters quite unrelated to the loan and while in prison he learnt about the impending sale of his property in connection with the loan. He contacted his wife and authorized her to ensure that the sale proceeds would be enough to cover the loan and leave him with a balance. He specifically referred her to one Tibagwa who had wanted to pay Shs.180m for it. Mr. Tibagwa did not buy it. It was instead sold to another person at Shs.120m. His evidence is that this was loss to him. Hence the prayer that he be paid Shs.60m being the loss he allegedly incurred in the sale.

The thrust of the plaintiff's case as regards the sale is that in selling the suit property, the bank had a duty to act in good faith. That it had to take reasonable steps to sell it at the true market value or the proper price prevailing at the time of sale. In *National Bank of Commerce Ltd and 2 others, HCCS No. 0496 of 2003*, this Court had opportunity to state that

unreported, this Court had opportunity to state that

unreported, this Court had opportunity to state that

unreported, this Court had opportunity to state that

unreported, this Court had opportunity to state that

unreported, this Court had opportunity to state that

unreported, this Court had opportunity to state that

unreported, this Court had opportunity to state that

the law as regards the duty of a mortgagee in a sale of this nature. I did state in that case, and I reiterate that position herein, that one of the fundamental equitable principles for the enforcement of mortgages is that

the protection of borrowers is that the

powers conferred on a mortgagee must be exercised in good faith for the purpose of obtaining repayment. The burden is on the mortgagee to show that it had taken all reasonable steps to obtain the best price reasonably obtainable on the sale of the property.

I have considered the plaintiff's evidence on this matter, particularly that of PW1 Fred Muwema. He is an Advocate of this Court.

He came to know the plaintiff through his wife, Mrs Jjagwe, around April 2004. It was in respect of their house in

Ntinda. The wife contacted PW1 after his client, Robert Tibagwa, had called and instructed him to handle purchase of the suit property. The witness proceeded to

with Mr. Jjagwe to the bank. They met one Wangwe, a Manager, who

confirmed to them that the bank was demanding over Shs.100m from Jjagwe and that the security was up for auction. He testified:

Mr. Wangwe informed me he was aware that the mortgagor, Mr. Jjagwe, was trying to redeem the property by finding a suitable buyer. At that stage I told him

I was representing a certain interested buyer Mr. Tibagwa and that he was ready to pay the negotiated price to the mortgagor. The price was Shs.180m. Wangwe advised that since the matter had been handed over to their lawyers, it was better to make a formal communication to them and that the Bank would be responding through lawyers.

\par From this evidence, Court is satisfied that the Bank was very much aware as to the frantic effort the mortgagor was making to get the best price for the suit property.

\par

\par Evidence further shows that around May 19, 2004, the witness (PW1) wrote to the Bailiffs and copied the letter to the Bank lawyers, making an offer of Shs.180m. }

{\insrsid3622901\charrsid15346716 He}{\insrsid159980\charrsid15346716 propos}{

\insrsid3622901\charrsid15346716 ed}{\insrsid159980\charrsid15346716 a commitment deposit of Shs.10m and pay}{\insrsid3622901\charrsid15346716 ment of}{\insrsid159980\charrsid15346716 the balance within 2 weeks. The Bank t

hrough its lawyers accepted the offer as to the period of 2 weeks but declined the Shs.10m commitment deposit.

\par

\par From the evidence also the lawyers gave the witness up to the end of May 2004 to be through with the purchase transaction. It is evident from th

e record that Tibagwa also failed to meet the deadline set by the defendant. The circumstances were, however, very telling. They are expressed in PW1 Muwema\quote s own words:

\par }\pard \qj \li35\ri21\sl360\smult1\widctlpar\aspalpha\aspnum\faauto\adjustright\ri21\lin935\itap0\pararsid15346716 {\b\insrsid159980\charrsid15346716 '93Because of the difficult situation in which he was in {\b\insrsid15346716\charrsid15346716

Sierra Leone}{\b\insrsid159980\charrsid15346716 , it was difficul

t for him to wire the money to us. He contacted his bankers, DFCU, to provide bridging finance of Shs.100m. For the purpose, he appointed me under a Power of Attorney dated 26/5/2004 to pursue the loan on his behalf. Because of the pressure at the time

, DFCU acted swiftly. By 27/5/2004, they had invited me to sign an offer of Shs.100m on behalf of my client.\94

\par }\pard \qj \li0\ri0\sl360\smult1\widctlpar\aspalpha\aspnum\faauto\adjustright\ri0\lin0\itap0\pararsid15346716 {\insrsid159980\charrsid15346716

\par From the {\insrsid3622901\charrsid15346716 records}{\insrsid159980\charrsid15346716 , what ensued were inter bank exchanges between DFCU and Standard Chartered Bank, the defendant. {\insrsid13660067\charrsid15346716 DFCU}{

\insrsid159980\charrsid15346716 requested for details of the outstanding amount on the plaintiff\quote

s account. The details were furnished by the defendant to DFCU on 30/5/2004. DFCU made it clear to the defendant that it was ready to disburse the Shs.100m in exchange for the security and the relevant documents. Th

ere is evidence that PW1 Muwema was in constant touch with the defendant's lawyers at the time, informing them of the progress being made.

It is instructive to note that the defendant's lawyer handling the matter at the time was one Innocent Taremwa. At

the hearing, he had to step down as defence counsel because he was an obvious defence witness in view of his heavy involvement in the deal. Hence the involvement of Mr. Yehangane at a later stage.

In the end, Mr. Taremwa did not appear as a witness for either party.

From the evidence, the witness (PW1 Muwema) remained in constant touch with the defendant's Bank. On 31/5/2004, the last day of the offer period, he wrote to them indicating that

DFCU had received a statement from the defendant showing the out

standing amount in the sum of Shs.117m. He assured the lawyers that Shs.100m was ready for disbursement by DFCU and attached a cheque of Shs.17m dated 3/6/2004 to cover the difference between what DFCU had offered (Shs.100m) and the plaintiff's

s indebtedness (Shs.117m). His unchallenged evidence is that he followed up the letter of 31/5/2004 with

stant phone calls to his learned colleagues, counsel for the defendant. Despite these frantic attempts on the part of the plaintiff's lawyers, the defendant's lawyers sold the property to another

er person at a cost of Shs.120m, apparently at the close of office business on 31/5/2004.

It is argued for the defendant that an offer of Shs.180m from a man of means through a reputable bank with the assistance of a serious lawyer which could not be disbursed within the time clearly laid down by the offeree as

\insrsid15215210\charrsid15346716 at {\insrsid9917969\charrsid15346716 31/5/2004 makes the purchaser a defaulter. While I can accept the defence argument that time was of essence herein, I cannot accept the argument that the offer

of Shs.120m was a reasonable substitute for one of Shs.180m. From the evidence, the property had been taken off the auction block. The Bank had given the mortgagor a chance to obtain the best price for his property. Indeed from the evidence of DW2 Apol

lo Barungi, the Bailiffs were not involved in the sale, although he, Barungi, did not want to say so in clear terms. From his evidence, he stopped at advertising the property for sale and later participating in the eviction of the plaintiff\rqoute

s family from the house. Given that a reputable bank, DFCU, had committed itself to source the funds needed by Tibagwa, and given that Tibagwa\rqoute

s lawyer was able to issue a cheque on 31/5/2004, it was, in my fair judgment, unreasonable of the defendant\rqoute s lawyers to sell the property to {\insrsid2580077\charrsid15346716 another person at such a low price, far below}{

\insrsid13962823\charrsid15346716 the forced sale value, without subjecting the property to further bids. With the greatest respect to the defendant\rqoute s lawyers, } {\insrsid13465777\charrsid15346716 a}{\insrsid13962823\charrsid15346716

s agents in the sale of the suit property they acted as automatons

. The main point to bear in mind is that the duty to obtain the best price is a duty owed in equity. While the range and scope of the duty is not closed, implying, so to say, that each case must be decided on its unique facts and circumstances, it is no

t (that is, the range and scope of the duty) yielding much flexibility either. Lenders need to be alert to subsequent challenge}{\insrsid15215210\charrsid15346716 s} {\insrsid13962823\charrsid15346716

and ensure not only that they employ the right selling agents for the job, but also that they take the precaution of obtaining

a decent second opinion. It may well be worth trying to accommodate the borrowers views on sale so far as is reasonably practicable.}{\insrsid9917969\charrsid15346716

\par {\insrsid13962823\charrsid15346716

\par This is the line of thought and decision in a number of cases, notably }

{\b\ul\insrsid13962823\charrsid15346716 Cuckmere Brick Co. Ltd \endash Vs- Mutual Finance Ltd [1971] 2 All ER 633 }{\insrsid13962823\charrsid15346716 and }{

\b\ul\insrsid13962823\charrsid15346716 Malayan Banking Bhd \endash Vs- Hwang Rose and others [1997] 3 LRC 224.){\insrsid7824853\charrsid15346716 I\rqoute m in full agreement with it.} {\insrsid13962823\charrsid15346716

\par {{\insrsid7824853\charrsid15346716

\par Applying the above principles to the facts herein, it is clear to me that the sale was by private treaty, organized by the bank's lawyers

. There was no sufficient competitive bidding for the property, even if I were to accept that Tibagwa himself failed to beat the deadline set by the defendant. In these circumstances, it would appear to me that the mortgagee did not exercise reasonable

c

are to realize the asset to the best advantage of itself and the mortgagor. In the end, property which could have fetched Shs.180m if the defendant had exercised due diligence and patience fetched much less. It does not matter that the defendant was not

in direct negotiation with the plaintiff's agents. He who does something through another does it himself.

\par

\par For the reason stated above, Court is satisfied that the {{\insrsid13465777\charrsid15346716 hurried sale to Membe caused loss to the plaintiff.{{\insrsid7824853\charrsid15346716

\par

\par I would answer the first issue in the affirmative and I do so.

\par

\par As to whether the plaintiff is entitled to the remedies sought, the plaintiff's head prayer is for damages for breach of contract, under valuing and sale of property }{{\insrsid546867\charrsid15346716 for {{\insrsid546867 a {{

{{\insrsid7824853\charrsid15346716 less sum}}{{\insrsid15215210\charrsid15346716 },{{\insrsid7824853\charrsid15346716 and loss incurred as a result of the sale. He p

rays in the alternative for an order that the defendant compensates him Shs.60m, being the loss he incurred }{{\insrsid15215210\charrsid15346716 through}}{{\insrsid7824853\charrsid15346716 the under valuation and has}}{{\insrsid15215210\charrsid15346716 y}{{

{{\insrsid7824853\charrsid15346716 sale of the suit property. General damages are what may be presumed by law to be the necessary result of the defendant's acts. The plaintiff}{{\insrsid15563020\charrsid15346716 }}{{

{{\insrsid13660067\charrsid15346716 may not}}{{\insrsid15563020\charrsid15346716

prove that he suffered general damages. It is enough if he shows that the defendant owed him a duty of care which he breached. In the instant case, the plaintiff has shown to the satisfaction of Court that}}{{\insrsid15215210\charrsid15346716 the pro

perty could have fetched about Shs.180m if the defendant had exercised its powers under the mortgage in good faith and for the purpose of obtaining the repayment.}

{\insrsid15563020\charrsid15346716 A reputable firm of valuers, Byokush}{

\insrsid13465777\charrsid15346716 e}{\insrsid15563020\charrsid15346716 ka & Company, estimated the market value at Shs.210,000,000= and the}{\insrsid3100340\charrsid15346716

offer bid of Shs.180m was thrown away on insufficient grounds, much as the sale was conducted}{\insrsid15563020\charrsid15346716 under forced circumstances.}

{\insrsid7824853\charrsid15346716

\par }{\insrsid15563020\charrsid15346716

\par From the plaintiff\rsquote s own evidence, he }{\insrsid13465777\charrsid15346716 had also been }{\insrsid15563020\charrsid15346716 sued by one Kiyimba Sentongo in }{\b\ul\insrsid15563020\charrsid15346716 HCCS No. 610 of 2003.}{

\insrsid15563020\charrsid15346716 At the time the suit property was sold, the plaintiff was in prison in connection with that case. The amount outstanding was Shs.29}{\insrsid546867\charrsid15346716 , 800,000}{\insrsid15563020\charrsid15346716

=. The case for the defendant is that in addition to the Shs.120m paid for the property, a further Shs.29,800,000= was paid to M/S Lukwago, Alaka & Co. Advocates in satisfaction of an order of attachment in }{\b\ul\insrsid15563020\charrsid15346716

HCCS No. 610 of 2003}{\b\ul\insrsid12087359\charrsid15346716 . }

{\insrsid2960762\charrsid15346716 I accept the plaintiff\rsquote

s evidence that up to the time of filing the suit and/or even hearing thereof, the defendant had not fully or at all accounted t

o him the amount realized from the sale. The defendant as a banking institution earns no credit for this delay. From the evidence, however, Sentongo has since stopped demanding payment from the plaintiff, implying, either, that Ronald Membe paid more th

an the Shs.120m herein declared to the plaintiff by the defendant, or, that when the defendant got to realize it}{\insrsid13465777\charrsid15346716 had messed up }{\insrsid2960762\charrsid15346716 the sale, it settled the plaintiff\rsquote

s debt with Sentongo as a compromise. Either way, the defendant has not come out clean on this point. Nevertheless, Court accepts the defence evidence that the }{\insrsid12087359\charrsid15346716

outstanding amount on the mortgage transaction as at the time of sale was Shs.117}{\insrsid546867\charrsid15346716 , 824,373}{\insrsid12087359\charrsid15346716 =. Shs.29}{\insrsid546867\charrsid15346716 , 800,000}{\insrsid12087359\charrsid15346716

= was paid by the defendant to the plaintiff's creditor, one Sentongo
 {insrsid13574134\charrsid15346716 ,}{insrsid12087359\charrsid15346716 and Shs.5}
 {insrsid546867\charrsid15346716 , 094,387}{insrsid12087359\charrsid15346716

= was paid to him as the balance on the sale proceeds. This gives a total of Shs.152}
 {insrsid546867\charrsid15346716 , 718,760}{insrsid12087359\charrsid15346716 =, a sum that }
 {insrsid13574134\charrsid15346716 certainly }{

{insrsid12087359\charrsid15346716 does not tally with the defendant's declared sum of
 Shs.149}{insrsid546867\charrsid15346716 , 800,000}{insrsid12087359\charrsid15346716 =. The
 difference between the highest bid of Shs.180}{

{insrsid546867\charrsid15346716 , 000,000}{insrsid12087359\charrsid15346716 = and }
 {insrsid13574134\charrsid15346716 Shs.152}{insrsid546867\charrsid15346716 , 718,760}
 {insrsid13574134\charrsid15346716 = i}{insrsid12087359\charrsid15346716 s Shs.27}{

{insrsid546867\charrsid15346716 , 281,240}{insrsid12087359\charrsid15346716 =. From the
 evidence, therefore, the plaintiff's
 {insrsid13574134\charrsid15346716 's
 {insrsid12087359\charrsid15346716 alternative prayer}{

{insrsid10830056\charrsid15346716 for a refund to him of }{insrsid546867\charrsid15346716
 Shs.60m}{insrsid10830056\charrsid15346716 }{insrsid13574134\charrsid15346716 is untenable}
 {insrsid10830056\charrsid15346716 . I would }{

{insrsid13574134\charrsid15346716 for this reason}{insrsid10830056\charrsid15346716 disallow }
 {insrsid13574134\charrsid15346716 it. }{insrsid13465777\charrsid15346716

\par

\par }{insrsid13574134\charrsid15346716 As regards the prayer for general damages, neither}
 {insrsid10830056\charrsid15346716 counsel proposed to me what they would deem a reasonable
 award of general damages. Be that as it may, taking into account: }{

{insrsid12087359\charrsid15346716

\par }\pard \qj \fi-720\li720\ri0\sl360\slmult1\widctlpar\aspalpha\aspnum\faauto\adjustright\rin0\lin720\itap0\pararsid15346716 {insrsid10830056\charrsid15346716 (i)\tab

that general damages are those which may be presumed by law to be a necessary result of the
 harm alleged and proved;

\par (ii)\tab that the plaintiff's failure to meet his obligations under the mortgage gave no
 credit to him;

\par (iii)\tab that it was well within the defendant's rights to sell the suit property after the
 plaintiff's default; and

\par (iv)\tab that the plaintiff's prayer in the alternative has been disallowed,

\par }\pard \qj \li720\ri0\sl360\slmult1\widctlpar\aspalpha\aspnum\faauto\adjustright\rin0\lin720\itap0\pararsid15346716 {\insrsid10830056\charrsid15346716 an award in the sum of Shs.}\{\insrsid13465777\charrsid15346716 15}\{\insrsid546867\charrsid15346716

, 000,000}\{\insrsid10830056\charrsid15346716 = ({\insrsid13465777\charrsid15346716 fifteen}\{\insrsid13574134\charrsid15346716 }\{\insrsid10830056\charrsid15346716 million only) would}\{\insrsid13465777\charrsid15346716 in my view,}\{\

\insrsid10830056\charrsid15346716 }\{\insrsid13574134\charrsid15346716 put him in the same position as he would have been in if the defendant had taken all reasonable steps to obtain the best price for the property}\{\insrsid10830056\charrsid15346716

. It is awarded to him.

\par }\pard \qj \li0\ri0\sl360\slmult1\widctlpar\aspalpha\aspnum\faauto\adjustright\rin0\lin0\itap0\pararsid15346716 {\insrsid10830056\charrsid15346716

\par The same shall attract interest at the rate of 25% per annum from the date of judgment till payment in full. The plaintiff shall have }\{\insrsid5248288\charrsid15346716 half }\{\insrsid10830056\charrsid15346716 the }\{\insrsid5248288\charrsid15346716

taxed }\{\insrsid10830056\charrsid15346716 costs of the suit.

\par

\par }\{\insrsid4658816\charrsid15346716 There will be no order for nullifying, restraining and/or stopping the sale of the suit property.}\{\insrsid10830056\charrsid15346716

\par }\{\insrsid4658816\charrsid15346716

\par I so order.

\par

\par Yorokamu Bamwine

\par }\b\insrsid4658816\charrsid15346716 J U D G E

\par }\{\insrsid4658816\charrsid15346716 01/06/2007

\par }\{\insrsid15344084\charrsid15346716

\par }\b\insrsid15344084\charrsid15346716 Order}\{\insrsid15344084\charrsid15346716 : The Registrar shall deliver this judgment on my behalf on the due date.

\par

\par Yorokamu Bamwine

\par }\b\insrsid15344084\charrsid15346716 J U D G E

\par }\{\insrsid15344084\charrsid15346716 01/06/2007

\par }}

Source & reproduction note

Judgment wording, source notices and original paragraph numbers are retained. Esheria supplies the layout and this PDF's page numbers; these are not the source pagination. This is a research copy, not an official or certified court document. Check quotations, citations and publication restrictions against the original source before relying on it.

ORIGINAL SOURCE <https://ulii.org/akn/ug/judgment/ugcommc/2007/57/eng@2007-05-31>

CASE PAGE <https://chat.esheria.ai/case-law/uganda/moses-jim-jjagwe-v-standard-chartered-bank-u-ltd-hct-00-cc-cs-375-of-2004-2007-ugcommc-57-31-may-2007-unknown-topic-unknown-court-2007--6a3ea4d83b1caa91297e56d9>

Prepared on 14 October 2026 · Esheria