

UGANDA / JUDGMENT

Muka Drilling Services Ltd v Geoserve (U) Ltd (HCT-00-CC-MA 137 of 2004) [2005] UGCommC 7 (29 March 2005) [2005] UGCommC 7

COURT

Commercial Court of Uganda

CASE / FILE NUMBER

HCT-00-CC-MA 137 of 2004

Source: ulii.org · Research copy typeset by Esheria. Not court-issued or certified.

{\rtf1\ansi\ansicpg1252\uc1\deff0\stshfdbch0\stshfloch0\stshfhich0\stshfbi0\deflang1033\deflangfe1033{\fonttbl{\f0\froman\fcharset0\fprq2{*\panose 02020603050405020304}Times New Roman;}{\f37\froman\fcharset238\fprq2 Times New Roman CE;}}

{\f38\froman\fcharset204\fprq2 Times New Roman Cyr;}{\f40\froman\fcharset161\fprq2 Times New Roman Greek;}{\f41\froman\fcharset162\fprq2 Times New Roman Tur;}{\f42\froman\fcharset177\fprq2 Times New Roman (Hebrew);}

{\f43\froman\fcharset178\fprq2 Times New Roman (Arabic);}{\f44\froman\fcharset186\fprq2 Times New Roman Baltic;}{\f45\froman\fcharset163\fprq2 Times New Roman (Vietnamese);}{\colortbl;\red0\green0\blue0;\red0\green0\blue255;\red0\green255\blue255;

\red0\green255\blue0;\red255\green0\blue255;\red255\green0\blue0;\red255\green255\blue0;\red255\green255\blue255;\red0\green0\blue128;\red0\green128\blue128;\red0\green128\blue0;\red128\green0\blue128;\red128\green0\blue0;\red128\green128\blue0;

\red128\green128\blue128;\red192\green192\blue192;}{\stylesheet{\ql\li0\ri0\widctlpar\aspalpha\aspnum\faauto\adjustright\rin0\lin0\itap0\fs24\lang2057\langfe1033\cgrid\langnp2057\langfenp1033\snext0\styrsid5052506 Normal;}{*\cs10\additive

\ssemihidden Default Paragraph Font;}{*\ts11\tsrowd\trftsWidthB3\trpaddl108\trpaddr108\trpaddfl3\trpaddft3\trpaddfb3\trpaddfr3\tscellwidthfts0\tsvertalt\tsbrdrt\tsbrdr1\tsbrdrb\tsbrdrr\tsbrdrdg1\tsbrdrdgr\tsbrdrh\tsbrdrv

\ql\li0\ri0\widctlpar\aspalpha\aspnum\faauto\adjustright\rin0\lin0\itap0\fs20\lang1024\langfe1024\cgrid\langnp1024\langfenp1024\snext11\ssemihidden Normal Table;}{\s15\ql\li0\ri0\widctlpar

\tqc\tx4320\tqr\tx8640\aspalpha\aspnum\faauto\adjustright\rin0\lin0\itap0
\fs24\lang2057\langfe1033\cgrid\langnp2057\langfenp1033\sbasedon0\snext15\styrsid5052506
footer;}{*\cs16\sbasedon10\styrsid5052506 page number;}}

{*\latentstyles\lsdstimax156\lsdlockeddef0}{*\listtable{\list\listtemplateid-226356370\listhybrid{\listlevel\levelnfc0\levelnfcn0\leveljc0\leveljcn0\levelfollow0\levelstartat1\levelspace360\levelindent0{\leveltext\leveltemplateid67698703

\02\00.;}{\levelnumbers\01;}{fi-360\li720\jclisttab\tx720\lin720}{\listlevel\levelnfc4\levelnfcn4\leveljc0\leveljcn0\levelfollow0\levelstartat1\levelspace360\levelindent0{\leveltext\leveltemplateid67698713\02\01.;}{\levelnumbers\01;}{fi-360\li1440

\jclisttab\tx1440\lin1440}{\listlevel\levelnfc2\levelnfcn2\leveljc2\leveljcn2\levelfollow0\levelstartat1\levelspace360\levelindent0{\leveltext\leveltemplateid67698715\02\02.;}{\levelnumbers\01;}{fi-180\li2160\jclisttab\tx2160\lin2160}{\listlevel

\levelnfc0\levelnfcn0\leveljc0\leveljcn0\levelfollow0\levelstartat1\levelspace360\levelindent0{\leveltext\leveltemplateid67698703\02\03.;}{\levelnumbers\01;}{fi-360\li2880\jclisttab\tx2880\lin2880}{\listlevel\levelnfc4\levelnfcn4\leveljc0\leveljcn0

\levelfollow0\levelstartat1\levelspace360\levelindent0{\leveltext\leveltemplateid67698713\02\04.;}{\levelnumbers\01;}{fi-360\li3600\jclisttab\tx3600\lin3600}{\listlevel\levelnfc2\levelnfcn2\leveljc2\leveljcn2\levelfollow0\levelstartat1\levelspace360

\levelindent0{\leveltext\leveltemplateid67698715\02\05.;}{\levelnumbers\01;}{fi-180\li4320\jclisttab\tx4320\lin4320}{\listlevel\levelnfc0\levelnfcn0\leveljc0\leveljcn0\levelfollow0\levelstartat1\levelspace360\levelindent0{\leveltext

\leveltemplateid67698703\02\06.;}{\levelnumbers\01;}{fi-360\li5040\jclisttab\tx5040\lin5040}{\listlevel\levelnfc4\levelnfcn4\leveljc0\leveljcn0\levelfollow0\levelstartat1\levelspace360\levelindent0{\leveltext\leveltemplateid67698713

\02\07.;}{\levelnumbers\01;}{fi-360\li5760\jclisttab\tx5760\lin5760}{\listlevel\levelnfc2\levelnfcn2\leveljc2\leveljcn2\levelfollow0\levelstartat1\levelspace360\levelindent0{\leveltext\leveltemplateid67698715\02\08.;}{\levelnumbers\01;}

\fi-180\li6480\jclisttab\tx6480\lin6480}{\listname;}{\listid1358773911}}
{*\listoverrideable{\listoverride\listid1358773911\listoverridecount0\ls1}}{*\rsidtbl
\rsid4594768\rsid5052506\rsid9662534\rsid12259043\rsid14375971\rsid14967255}{*\generator Microsoft Word 11.0.6568;}{\info{\title THE REPUBLIC OF UGANDA}{\author Egonda-Ntende}{\operator Harry Mak}{\creatim\yr2006\mo2\dy7\hr10\min14}{\revtim\yr2006\mo2\dy7\hr10\min14}{\printim\yr2005\mo3\dy29\hr18\min16}{\version2}{\edmins0}{\nofpages3}}

{\nofwords1161}{\nofchars6624}{*\company Courts of Judicature}{\nofcharsws7770}{\vern24579}}\widowctrl\ftnbj\abenddoc\noxlattoyen\expshrt\noultrlspc\dntblnsbdb\nospaceforul\formshade\horzdoc\dgmargin\dghspace180\dgvspace180\dghorigin1800\dgvorigin1440

\dghshow1\dgvshow1\jexpand\viewkind1\viewscale100\pgbrdrhead\pgbrdrfoot\splytwine\ftnlytwnine\htmautsp\nolnhtadjtbl\useltbaln\alntblind\lytcalctblwd\lyttblrtgr\lnbrkrule\nobrkwrptbl\snaptogridincell\allowfieldendsel\wrppunct

\asianbrkrule\rsidroot5052506\newtblstyruls\nogrowautofit \fet0{*\ftnsep \pard\plain \ql
\li0\ri0\widctlpar\aspalpha\aspnum\faauto\adjustright\rin0\lin0\itap0
\fs24\lang2057\langfe1033\cgrid\langnp2057\langfenp1033 {\insrsid9662534 \chftnsep

\par }}{*\ftnsepc \pard\plain \ql
\li0\ri0\widctlpar\aspalpha\aspnum\faauto\adjustright\rin0\lin0\itap0
\fs24\lang2057\langfe1033\cgrid\langnp2057\langfenp1033 {\insrsid9662534 \chftnsepc

\par }}{*\aftnsep \pard\plain \ql
\li0\ri0\widctlpar\aspalpha\aspnum\faauto\adjustright\rin0\lin0\itap0
\fs24\lang2057\langfe1033\cgrid\langnp2057\langfenp1033 {\insrsid9662534 \chftnsep

\par }}{*\aftnsepc \pard\plain \ql
\li0\ri0\widctlpar\aspalpha\aspnum\faauto\adjustright\rin0\lin0\itap0
\fs24\lang2057\langfe1033\cgrid\langnp2057\langfenp1033 {\insrsid9662534 \chftnsepc

\par }}\sectd \linex0\endnhere\titlepg\sectlinegrid360\sectdefaultcl\sectrsid5052506\sftnbj {\footer
\pard\plain \s15\ql \li0\ri0\widctlpar\tqc\tx4320\tqr\tx8640\pvpara\phmrg\posxc\posy0\aspalpha\aspnum\faauto\adjustright\rin0\lin0\itap0\pararsid5052506

\fs24\lang2057\langfe1033\cgrid\langnp2057\langfenp1033 {\field{*\fldinst {\cs16\insrsid14967255
PAGE }}{\fldrslt {\cs16\lang1024\langfe1024\noproof\insrsid9662534 2}}{\cs16\insrsid14967255

\par }\pard \s15\ql
\li0\ri0\widctlpar\tqc\tx4320\tqr\tx8640\aspalpha\aspnum\faauto\adjustright\rin0\lin0\itap0
{\insrsid14967255

\par }}{*\pnseclvl1\pnucrm\pnstart1\pnindent720\pnhang {\pntxta .}}
{*\pnseclvl2\pnucltr\pnstart1\pnindent720\pnhang {\pntxta .}}
{*\pnseclvl3\pndec\pnstart1\pnindent720\pnhang {\pntxta .}}
{*\pnseclvl4\pnlcltr\pnstart1\pnindent720\pnhang {\pntxta .}}

{*\pnseclvl5\pndec\pnstart1\pnindent720\pnhang {\pntxtb ({\pntxta .})}}
{*\pnseclvl6\pnlcltr\pnstart1\pnindent720\pnhang {\pntxtb ({\pntxta .})}}
{*\pnseclvl7\pnlcrm\pnstart1\pnindent720\pnhang {\pntxtb ({\pntxta .})}}{*\pnseclvl8

\pnlcltr\pnstart1\pnindent720\pnhang {\pntxtb ({\pntxta .})}}
{*\pnseclvl9\pnlcrm\pnstart1\pnindent720\pnhang {\pntxtb ({\pntxta .})}}\pard\plain \qc \li0\ri0\sl
360\slmult1\widctlpar\aspalpha\aspnum\faauto\adjustright\rin0\lin0\itap0\pararsid5052506

\fs24\lang2057\langfe1033\cgrid\langnp2057\langfenp1033 {\insrsid5052506 THE REPUBLIC OF UGANDA

\par

\par IN THE HIGH COURT OF UGANDA AT KAMPALA

\par

\par (COMMERCIAL DIVISION)

\par

\par HCT-00-CC-MA- 0137 OF 2004

\par

\par (Arising from HCT-00-CC-C. S 0583 [0590] of 2003)

\par }\pard \ql \li0\ri0\sl360\slmult1\widctlpar\aspalpha\aspnum\faauto\adjustright\rin0\lin0\itap0\pararsid5052506 {\insrsid5052506

\par }\pard \qc \li0\ri0\sl360\slmult1\widctlpar\aspalpha\aspnum\faauto\adjustright\rin0\lin0\itap0\pararsid5052506 {\insrsid5052506 Muka Drilling Services Ltd Applicant

\par

\par Versus

\par

\par Geoserve (U) Ltd Respondent

\par }\pard \ql \li0\ri0\sl360\slmult1\widctlpar\aspalpha\aspnum\faauto\adjustright\rin0\lin0\itap0\pararsid5052506 {\insrsid5052506

\par }{\b\ul\insrsid5052506\charrsid14055616 BEFORE THE HON. MR. JUSTICE FMS EGONDA-NTENDE

\par }{\insrsid5052506

\par }{\b\ul\insrsid5052506\charrsid14055616 RULING

\par }{\insrsid5052506

\par {\listtext\pard\plain\lang2057\langfe1033\langnp2057\insrsid5052506
\hich\af0\dbch\af0\loch\f0 1.\tab}}\pard \qj \fi-360\li720\ri0\sl360\slmult1\widctlpar\jclisttab\tx720\aspalpha\aspnum\faauto\ls1\adjustright\rin0\lin720\itap0\pararsid5052506 {

\insrsid5052506 The applicant is the defendant in the head suit. It seeks for orders for compensation for unlawful execution and vand

alisation of the applicants attached property, and that provision is made for costs of this application. This application is brought under Section 34 of the Civil Procedure Act and Order 48 Rules 1 and 2 of the Civil Procedure Rules. The application is su

pported by affidavits sworn by the Mujogya Gilbert Atwoki and Turyasiima Jacob.

\par {\listtext\pard\plain\lang2057\langfe1033\langnp2057\insrsid5052506
\hich\af0\dbch\af0\loch\f0 2.\tab}The Respondent is the plaintiff in the original head suit. It opposes the application, and in that vein, filed an affidavit sworn by Bruce Medhurst.

\par {\listtext\pard\plain\lang2057\langfe1033\langnp2057\insrsid5052506
\hich\af0\dbch\af0\loch\f0 3.\tab}The grounds upon which this

application is based are three as set out in the notice of motion. Firstly that the respondent prematurely applied for execution against the applicant. Secondly that the respondent vandalized the attached property of the applicant. Lastly that the respond

ent undertook to make good the damage but has failed to do so.

\par {\listtext\pard\plain\lang2057\langfe1033\langnp2057\insrsid5052506
\hich\af0\dbch\af0\loch\f0 4.\tab}

The applicant contends as can be gathered from the affidavits in support of this application that the applicant is the judgement debtor in the head suit and had been allowed by court to pay the decretal amount in instalments at regular intervals and that it had successfully complied with the payment schedule. In breach of this arrangement, the respondents had applied for attachment, alleging breach of this arrangement, and court issued an order

for attachment and sale of a Samil Rig Reg. No. UAD 829E and Samil support truck No. UAD 821E.

\par {\listtext\pard\plain\lang2057\langfe1033\langnp2057\insrsid5052506
\hich\af0\dbch\af0\loch\f0 5.\tab}

Upon a complaint by the applicant, the Registrar of the High Court recalled the warrant of attachment, and cancelled the execution. At the time the said vehicles

were in the workshop of Nepta (U) Ltd where they had been taken by the applicants for repair long before the attempted execution.

\par {\listtext\pard\plain\lang2057\langfe1033\langnp2057\insrsid5052506
\hich\af0\dbch\af0\loch\f0 6.\tab}After the recall of the warrant of sale the directors of the respondent moved to the formerly attached property, and removed c

ertain parts, and when confronted by the applicants, admitted doing so, and both parties thereafter agreed that the respondents would foot the cost of putting these vehicles in the same condition as when they were initially first taken to the Nepta (U) Lt

d for repair. A written agreement to that effect was signed by the applicant and the respondents dated 18^{{\super\insrsid5052506\charrsid12585988 th}{\insrsid5052506} September 2004.

\par {\listtext\pard\plain\lang2057\langfe1033\langnp2057\insrsid5052506
\hich\af0\dbch\af0\loch\f0 7.\tab}The applicant now alleges that the respondents have not complied with that agreement, and have caused them loss and damage, in excess of Shs150,000,000.00, hence this application to recover such loss and damage.

\par {\listtext\pard\plain\lang2057\langfe1033\langnp2057\insrsid5052506
\hich\af0\dbch\af0\loch\f0 8.\tab}

The respondents oppose this application and have put forth a somewhat different version of events, justifying the application for execution, and suggesting that in fact the cour

t bailiffs had sold the trucks in question, by the time the warrant of attachment and sale was recalled by the Registrar of this court. The court bailiffs deny such a sale to have occurred. In any case subsequent agreements signed by both the respondents and applicants are inconsistent with a sale to have occurred.

\par {\listtext\pard\plain\lang2057\langfe1033\langnp2057\insrsid5052506
\hich\af0\dbch\af0\loch\f0 9.\tab}The agreement of 18^{{\super\insrsid5052506\charrsid12080165 th}{\insrsid5052506}

September 2004 does show that there was money outstanding to the respondents from the applicants, which had in fact been retained by the applicant\quote s advocates at the time,

John Matovu of Matovu, Kimanje and Co. Advocates who had not passed on the same to the respondents. This money that ought to have been paid to the respondents was never paid up to the hearing of this application.

\par {\listtext\pard\plain\lang2057\langfe1033\langnp2057\insrsid5052506
\hich\af0\dbch\af0\loch\f0 10.\tab} The applicant asserts that it had honoured

its obligations with regard to payment of the decretal amount as agreed presumably before the Registrar of this court on 26^{{\super\insrsid5052506\charrsid6432804 th}{\insrsid5052506}

February 2004. the applicant does not provide particulars of these payments in its affidavit. On the other hand the respondent denies the applicant\quote s assertion that it had paid as agreed. The respondent\quote

s affidavit does have attachments including a letter from the Court Bailiff dated 25 May 2004 that shows the Bailiff recovered from the applicant Shs 20,000,000.00. It is the obligat

ion of the party who asserts a fact to prove it. The applicant in the instant case has made general claims to have complied with the agreement, but fails on the affidavits presented to court, to show how it actually complied with the agreement. I find tha

t the applicant has failed to make out ground one, that application for execution was premature.

\par {\listtext\pard\plain\lang2057\langfe1033\langnp2057\insrsid5052506
 \hich\af0\dbch\af0\loch\f0 11.\tab}

It appears to me that a finding on ground one is immaterial in any case to the applicants real claims in this proceedings, which are for Shs. 25, 774,903.00 bei

ng the estimated cost of repairs of the vehicles that are alleged to have been vandalised, and Shs. 150,000,000.00, which is for damages for none use of the said vehicles for a period of four months, as a result of failure to repair the same within reason

able time. The relief sought is not grounded on whether execution was lawful or not, or whether it was premature or otherwise. No relief claimed flows from the execution complained against in this case.

\par {\listtext\pard\plain\lang2057\langfe1033\langnp2057\insrsid5052506
 \hich\af0\dbch\af0\loch\f0 12.\tab}The crux of the applicant\rsquote s complaint seems to be grou
 nded in the trespass to the trucks, which is really independent of the execution process, and for which there is an agreement for resolving issues related to the same. The agreement between the parties of 18}{\super\insrsid5052506\charrsid7174536 th}{

\insrsid5052506 September 2004 sets out the terms agreed too b

y the parties in resolving this dispute. In reality it is not an issue related to execution of the decree at all. The respondents had no lawful role to play in execution apart from setting the process in motion with their application to court. Trespass to

the property in issue was not part of the process of execution whatsoever nor was it a consequence of execution. Trespass to the vehicles in question was committed on or about the 8}{\super\insrsid5052506\charrsid10839887 th}{\insrsid5052506

May 2004, after cancellation of the warrant of attachment and sale of th

e trucks, if the affidavit of Jacob Turyasiima is to be believed. And this was after the court had cancelled the warrant of attachment and sale of the trucks. Trespass was committed after execution had already been lifted. The trespass is therefore unrela

ted to the execution whatsoever. It is entirely a new and separate cause of action based both upon trespass and or the subsequent contract that followed.

\par {\listtext\pard\plain\lang2057\langfe1033\langnp2057\insrsid5052506
 \hich\af0\dbch\af0\loch\f0 13.\tab}}\pard \qj \fi-360\li720\ri1440\widctlpar\jclisttab\tx720\aspalpha\aspnum\faauto\ls1\adjustright\rin1440\lin720\itap0\pararsid5052506 {\insrsid5052506

Section 34 (1) of the Civil Procedure Act provides,

\par }\pard \qj \li1440\ri1440\widctlpar\aspalpha\aspnum\faauto\adjustright\rin1440\lin1440\itap0\pararsid5052506 {\i\insrsid5052506\charrsid9334976 \93

- (1) All questions arising between the parties to the suit in which the decree was passed, or their representatives, and relating to the execution, discharge, or satisfaction of the decree, shall be determined by the court executing the decree and not by

a separate suit.\94}{\insrsid5052506

\par {\listtext\pard\plain\lang2057\langfe1033\langnp2057\insrsid5052506
\hich\af0\dbch\af0\loch\f0 14.\tab}}\pard \qj \fi-360\li720\ri0\sl360\slmult1\widctlpar\jclisttab\tx720\aspalpha\aspnum\faauto\ls1\adjustright\rin0\lin720\itap0\pararsid5052506 {

\insrsid5052506 The above provisions clearly relate to questions arising out of execution, discharge or satisfaction of the decree which are to be considered by the court that passed the decree rather than by a separate suit. See }

\i\insrsid5052506\charrsid14055616 Francis Micah v Nuwa Supreme Court Civil Appeal No. 24 of 1994}{\i\insrsid5052506 [unreported]}\i\insrsid5052506\charrsid14055616 .

\par {\listtext\pard\plain\lang2057\langfe1033\langnp2057\insrsid5052506
\hich\af0\dbch\af0\loch\f0 15.\tab}}{\insrsid5052506

For the reasons I have endeavoured to show above, I am satisfied that this application has no merit as presently put forth in this application. The substance of the present claim by the applicant against

the respondent cannot be presented to this court under Section 34 of the Civ}{\insrsid14967255 il Procedure Act. The applicant}{\insrsid5052506 }{\insrsid14967255 is}{\insrsid5052506 free to pursue }{\insrsid14967255 its}{\insrsid5052506 rights, if }

\insrsid14967255 it}{\insrsid5052506 wish}{\insrsid14967255 es}{\insrsid5052506 , by different proceedings, outside Section 34 of the Civil Procedure Act.

\par {\listtext\pard\plain\lang2057\langfe1033\langnp2057\insrsid5052506
\hich\af0\dbch\af0\loch\f0 16.\tab}This application is dismissed with costs to the respondents.

\par }\pard \qj \li0\ri0\sl360\slmult1\widctlpar\aspalpha\aspnum\faauto\adjustright\rin0\lin0\itap0\pararsid5052506 {\insrsid5052506

\par }\pard \ql \li0\ri0\sl360\slmult1\widctlpar\aspalpha\aspnum\faauto\adjustright\rin0\lin0\itap0\pararsid5052506 {\insrsid5052506 Dated at Kampala this 30}
{\super\insrsid5052506\charrsid9334976 th}{\insrsid5052506 day of March 2005.

\par

\par

\par

\par FMS Egonda-Ntende

\par }\pard \ql \li360\ri0\sl360\slmult1\widctlpar\aspalpha\aspnum\faauto\adjustright\rin0\lin360\itap0\pararsid5052506 {\insrsid5052506 Judge}\insrsid4594768

\par }}

Source & reproduction note

Judgment wording, source notices and original paragraph numbers are retained. Esheria supplies the layout and this PDF's page numbers; these are not the source pagination. This is a research copy, not an official or certified court document. Check quotations, citations and publication restrictions against the original source before relying on it.

ORIGINAL SOURCE <https://ulii.org/akn/ug/judgment/ugcommc/2005/7/eng@2005-03-29>

CASE PAGE <https://chat.esheria.ai/case-law/uganda/muka-drilling-services-ltd-v-geoserve-u-ltd-hct-00-cc-ma-137-of-2004-2005-ugcommc-7-29-march-2005-unknown-topic-unknown-court-2005>

Prepared on 13 October 2026 · Esheria